...what can be done

Think water where there was a need.
Think security where there was a risk.
Think solution where there was a problem.
Think balance where before there was none.
Whether we are contemplating the restoration of our environment or helping to revitalize our urban centers, we at Tetra Tech are thinking of clear sustainable solutions to resource management and infrastructure challenges. In fact, it’s much more than what can be done today; it’s what we’ll be able to do tomorrow. At Tetra Tech, we are putting our best minds on it every hour of every day. Because it’s the only world we’ve got. And because that’s what we’re doing...

...thinking and acting for tomorrow.
TETRA TECH, INC.

As a leading provider of consulting, engineering and technical services, employing more than 7,500 people in the United States and internationally, Tetra Tech supports commercial and government clients in the areas of resource management and infrastructure. Tetra Tech’s services include research and development, applied science and technology, engineering design, program management, construction management, and operations and maintenance.
Now think about this—as our population continues to grow, more and more of us are forced into areas without adequate water and resources. Consequently, over 1.1 billion of us—that’s one in every six people in the world—lack access to safe drinking water. Meanwhile the industrial remnants of this modern migration—in the form of Superfund sites and brownfields—litter our national map. It’s a complex array of challenges and yet at Tetra Tech we are constantly mining more effective ideas—employing new approaches and innovative technologies—to solve these problems. For our clients. And for us all.
Resourceful Thinking

It’s the gathering of empirical evidence, the practice of logical reasoning, and the constant questioning of our beliefs and conclusions—in order to successfully discover the most reliable solutions to our resource management problems.
Environmental Engineering and Consulting

TetraTech is an industry leader in the markets we serve under our environmental engineering and consulting business area. We protect and improve the quality of life through a broad range of water resources and environmental management services, including watershed management, groundwater cleanup, and environmental restoration to ensure a clean water supply, productive reuse of economic assets, and sustainable development of natural resources. We also provide environmental science and research and development services, including the development of complex simulation models. Our clients include the U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and other federal agencies, state and local governments, and industrial clients in the petroleum, mining, chemical manufacturing, and aerospace industries.

Government Compliance Services

TetraTech provides a wide variety of environmental services, emergency response, technology transfer, training, and multimedia compliance support under this business area. We help the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency evaluate innovative technologies, conduct contaminated site characterization and remediation, train first responders, and respond to environmental disasters, both natural and manmade. We provide energy management and homeland security services to federal and state agencies and licensing and permitting support to power and utility clients. We provide planning, study, and investigation services for public and private clients. Other major clients include the U.S. Navy, the U.S. Air Force, the U.S. Agency for International Development, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, and the U.S. Department of Energy.

Remediation and Construction

TetraTech provides environmental remediation services to help our clients achieve site closure, including remedial design and the full range of remedial services for hazardous waste sites, radioactive waste sites, and sites contaminated by waste military munitions. We provide site investigation, risk assessment, feasibility studies, remedial design and engineering, remedial construction, and unexploded ordnance services at some of the most high-profile cleanup sites in the world. TetraTech manages performance-based remediation and other traditional contracts, including remediation and construction programs related to rebuilding Iraq, military facilities, and realigning military bases nationwide. Our primary clients include all branches of the U.S. Department of Defense, the U.S. Department of Energy and large industrial clients.
Our Thinking Applied

Think community where there was an aging military base.
Think early warning where there was no advance notice.
Think critical help where it was most needed.
Think restored waters where there was an ailing stream.

- In July 1993, the Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Commission recommended closure of the Naval Training Center (NTC) Orlando, a 2,072-acre facility in the heart of Orlando, Florida. As a member of the Orlando Partnering Team, TetraTech was instrumental in the environmental assessment and transfer of the former NTC Orlando property for redevelopment.

- Building on its pioneering tsunami research in the 1960s, TetraTech and its joint venture partner are working with the U.S. Agency for International Development as the Lead Program Integrator to coordinate the U.S. government’s contributions to the development of an Indian Ocean Tsunami Warning System.

- In the immediate aftermath of Hurricanes Katrina and Rita, Tetra Tech—through our existing EPA Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team contracts—deployed hundreds of staff of varying technical expertise to aid in the recovery efforts, including search and rescue operations, emergency response, assessments of damage to infrastructure, sampling of flood waters for contamination, IT/GIS support, reconnaissance and environmental assessment, and household hazardous waste removal.

- Recently completed by TetraTech, the Watershed Stewardship Master Plan for streams within the heavily urbanized Santa Clara Basin of California provides baseline planning information for evaluation of all future development and restoration projects within the Basin.
How do we manage our environment while meeting the needs of society and business?
think about it...
Now think where we’re going—a world with so many competing interests, a world growing smaller by the day.

Much of our infrastructure can no longer meet our needs, either aged beyond repair or simply too limited in its capacity. Meanwhile, nearly 2.6 billion of us—that’s two in every five people in the world—lack access to basic sanitation services. It’s a complex array of challenges and yet at Tetra Tech we’re constantly evolving how we think in order to meet the needs of our growing communities, at home and abroad, improving the quality of life for us all.
**Civil Infrastructure**

Our engineering staff provides architectural, civil and structural engineering and design, planning, and construction management services focused on water and wastewater infrastructure. We also support infrastructure programs for transportation systems and all types of facilities including military, educational, correctional, and commercial buildings. Primary clients include state agencies and local municipalities across the United States, in addition to commercial developers, manufacturers and the U.S. Department of Defense.

**Systems Support and Security**

TetraTech provides critical information technology, engineering and program management support to federal agencies, including computer system design, analysis, and integration; systems engineering and logistics; modeling and simulation; and weapons test range support. Our services enhance civil aviation security, support the nation’s ballistic missile defense program, and help integrate key national defense information infrastructure and military operations. Core competencies include supercomputing and software development, homeland security services, logistics and acquisition services, and other support for federal information technology programs.

**Wired Communications**

We provide planning, design, construction management and construction of wired communications networks. We are a leader in the development of fiber to the premise networks and are currently building one of the largest networks of this type in the United States. Primary clients include local municipalities and commercial wireline carriers.
Structured Thinking

It’s applying what we’ve learned through scientific studies to real-world engineering problems and designing and managing construction solutions to our infrastructure challenges—in order to dramatically improve the quality of life.
More Applied **Thinking**

Think water where there was none.
Think faster than before.
Think safer than ever.
Think city where there was a town.

Located 150 miles southwest of San Antonio, Texas, Eagle Pass is a rapidly growing border community dependent on the Rio Grande River as its primary source of water. But wide variations in water quality and quantity prompted the City to hire TetraTech to help obtain funds, perform environmental studies, and design and construct a new 15MGD ultrafiltration plant, together with water distribution, storage and pumping facilities to serve the city and surrounding areas.

In a fast-paced four months in the summer and fall of 2004, TetraTech worked with NASA on the construction of the world’s most powerful production supercomputer. By boosting its computing capacity ten-fold, the NASA Advanced Supercomputing facility now more effectively handles such critical projects as supporting the safe return to flight of the space shuttle, as well as analyzing climate change.

Working extensively with the Port of San Diego, TetraTech was responsible for the development, implementation and integration of an integrated homeland security strategy for the Port— including the identification of funding opportunities, the assessment and coordination of existing threat and vulnerability assessments, and the development of new guidelines and training procedures based on the technology and systems being implemented.

Port St. Lucie, Florida— in 2005 it was named the fastest growing city in the United States, having grown from 10,000 to its current 100,000 residents in less than 20 years! In order to meet this incredible demand, the City hired TetraTech to design and manage the construction of a second state-of-the-art Reverse Osmosis Water Treatment Plant to treat brackish water from deep Floridan Aquifer wells.
think...
How can we make infrastructure environmentally sustainable?
Can we possibly think of all the answers? We’d like to think so. And because we must be mindful of the growing global community downstream, we at Tetra Tech are always thinking—thinking critically, thinking globally, thinking like no one else. Because most of all, we are...
...thinking and acting for tomorrow.
To Our Stakeholders

Following the substantial completion of our restructuring in fiscal 2005, our best minds are now focused on tomorrow—growing the business in the most effective way possible. Our strategic realignment last year positioned Tetra Tech for its next era of growth.

Tetra Tech exited the wireless communications business and arranged the sale of two other non-core businesses during the year. As a result, we are more efficient and more profitable. We are also better able to focus on our fundamental strengths in water resources and water infrastructure, and in targeted new markets in systems support and security. Tetra Tech also kicked off a new business development program focused on initiatives that bring to light special skills and unique competencies within the organization. Our initiatives will help us align our business with specific client needs.

With these changes behind us, Tetra Tech welcomed new operational leadership at the beginning of fiscal 2006. Dr. Li-San Hwang decided to retire, and I became the Chief Executive Officer after 25 years of working at Tetra Tech. My plan is to focus on the characteristics that make Tetra Tech a great company: entrepreneurial spirit, technical excellence and fiscal discipline.

During fiscal 2005, Sam Box was elected President, I was named Chief Operating Officer and the Board of Directors gained a new Vice Chairman—Lockheed Martin veteran Al Smith. Tetra Tech invested in project reviews and fiscal management changes that meet our corporate governance responsibilities and are expected to lead to the type of financial performance you anticipate.

Perhaps best of all, we won many significant new contracts last year. Amidst stiff competition, we were selected to lead the development of the Los Angeles River Revitalization Master Plan. Our joint venture team won a $2 billion worldwide water resources contract with the U.S. Agency for International Development. Under this contract, the team will serve as program integrator for the new Indian Ocean Tsunami Warning System. We won an $800 million indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity environmental remediation contract with the U.S. Department of Energy and a $250 million unexploded ordnance contract with the U.S. Army Engineering and Support Center, Huntsville. We also won important contract recompetes and new task orders under existing contracts with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the U.S. Navy, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, and the U.S. Missile Defense Agency.

Our authorized and fully funded backlog is now about $900 million.
Tetra Tech's revenue is down about 7% to $1.3 billion, reflecting our exit from non-core businesses. We recognized significant charges to effect this change, but the business unit divestitures, consolidation costs and contract write-downs make Tetra Tech a more healthy and robust organization.

By reinvesting our resources, we can build upon our leadership positions in the markets we serve. We generated $48 million in cash flow last year and were able to reduce our net debt by 36.8%—a solid performance. In fiscal 2006, we are uniquely equipped to capture new work related to the U.S. Department of Defense’s latest round of Base Realignment and Closure activities, the nationwide need for new and upgraded water infrastructure, and natural disaster preparedness and response. Our activities in these areas help to ensure the best use of limited resources and make the world a better place for us all.

With a strong balance sheet as our foundation, and our focus on growing our more profitable and more predictable lines of business, Tetra Tech is well-prepared to take advantage of its extraordinary core business opportunities.

Sincerely,

Dan L. Batrack
Chief Executive Officer and Chief Operating Officer
## Financial Highlights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenue</strong></td>
<td>$1,286,031</td>
<td>$1,376,159</td>
<td>$1,086,986</td>
<td>$905,464</td>
<td>$928,464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenue, net of subcontractor costs</strong></td>
<td>899,179</td>
<td>975,209</td>
<td>824,582</td>
<td>701,383</td>
<td>694,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income (loss) from operations</strong></td>
<td>(113,667)</td>
<td>49,647</td>
<td>87,272</td>
<td>50,803</td>
<td>41,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Basic earnings (loss) per share:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from continuing operations</td>
<td>$ (1.74)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative effect of accounting change</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(2.09)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net income (loss)</strong></td>
<td>$ (1.75)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>(1.19)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diluted earnings (loss) per share:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from continuing operations</td>
<td>$ (1.74)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative effect of accounting change</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(2.05)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net income (loss)</strong></td>
<td>$ (1.75)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>(1.17)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weighted average common shares outstanding:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic</td>
<td>56,736</td>
<td>55,969</td>
<td>54,766</td>
<td>53,995</td>
<td>52,195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diluted</td>
<td>56,736</td>
<td>57,288</td>
<td>55,782</td>
<td>55,086</td>
<td>54,166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Working capital</strong></td>
<td>$121,613</td>
<td>$144,829</td>
<td>$160,780</td>
<td>$200,460</td>
<td>$195,502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total assets</strong></td>
<td>648,043</td>
<td>808,507</td>
<td>703,232</td>
<td>669,018</td>
<td>609,732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Long-term obligations, excluding current portion</strong></td>
<td>74,185</td>
<td>92,346</td>
<td>107,463</td>
<td>110,000</td>
<td>111,779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stockholders’ equity</strong></td>
<td>304,616</td>
<td>397,500</td>
<td>358,205</td>
<td>412,707</td>
<td>371,168</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) We have included the results of operations and financial position of Advanced Management Technology, Inc. (acquired March 6, 2004) from its acquisition date.

(2) We have included the results of operations and financial positions of Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation and Hartman Consulting Corporation (collectively acquired March 7, 2003) and Engineering Management Concepts, Inc. (acquired July 31, 2003) from their respective acquisition dates.

(3) We have included the results of operations and financial positions of Thomas Associates Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects P.C. and America’s Schoolhouse Consulting Services, Inc. (collectively acquired March 25, 2002), Hartman & Associates, Inc. (acquired March 29, 2002) and Ardaman & Associates, Inc. (acquired June 28, 2002) from their respective effective acquisition dates.

(4) We have included the results of operations and financial positions of Rocky Mountain Consultants, Inc. (acquired December 21, 2000), Wahco Construction, Inc. (acquired March 2, 2001), Williams, Hatfield & Stoner, Inc. (acquired March 30, 2001), Vertex Engineering Services, Inc. (acquired May 21, 2001), Maxim Technologies, Inc. (acquired May 25, 2001), Commonwealth Technology, Inc. (acquired June 1, 2001), The Design Exchange Architects, Inc. (acquired June 27, 2001), Western Utility Contractors, Inc. and Western Utility Cable, Inc. (collectively acquired June 29, 2001), Shepherd Miller, Inc. (acquired September 26, 2001) and Sciences International, Inc. (acquired September 26, 2001) from their respective effective acquisition dates.

(5) Amounts are net of tax benefit of $1.0 million for fiscal 2005 and tax expense of $0.2 million, $1.9 million, $2.1 million and $2.4 million for fiscal 2004, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

(6) Amounts include both continuing and discontinued operations.
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### Statements of Operations Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenue</strong></td>
<td>$ 1,286,031</td>
<td>$ 1,376,159</td>
<td>$ 1,086,986</td>
<td>$ 905,464</td>
<td>$ 928,464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcontractor costs</td>
<td>386,852</td>
<td>400,950</td>
<td>262,404</td>
<td>204,081</td>
<td>234,224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue, net of subcontractor costs</td>
<td>899,179</td>
<td>975,209</td>
<td>824,582</td>
<td>701,383</td>
<td>694,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other contract costs</td>
<td>784,588</td>
<td>823,950</td>
<td>652,558</td>
<td>551,694</td>
<td>534,528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit</td>
<td>114,591</td>
<td>151,259</td>
<td>172,024</td>
<td>149,689</td>
<td>159,712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selling, general and administrative expenses</td>
<td>122,646</td>
<td>101,612</td>
<td>84,752</td>
<td>98,886</td>
<td>118,222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets</td>
<td>105,612</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from operations</td>
<td>(113,667)</td>
<td>49,647</td>
<td>87,272</td>
<td>50,803</td>
<td>41,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest expense—net</td>
<td>11,163</td>
<td>9,675</td>
<td>9,274</td>
<td>5,415</td>
<td>8,575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (benefit)</td>
<td>(124,830)</td>
<td>39,972</td>
<td>77,998</td>
<td>45,388</td>
<td>32,915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax expense (benefit)</td>
<td>(26,152)</td>
<td>16,503</td>
<td>31,388</td>
<td>19,251</td>
<td>6,848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from continuing operations</td>
<td>(98,678)</td>
<td>23,469</td>
<td>46,610</td>
<td>26,137</td>
<td>26,067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax(5)</td>
<td>(791)</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>2,749</td>
<td>3,155</td>
<td>3,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) before cumulative effect of accounting change</td>
<td>(99,469)</td>
<td>23,742</td>
<td>49,359</td>
<td>29,292</td>
<td>29,847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative effect of accounting change</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income (loss)</td>
<td>(99,469)</td>
<td>23,742</td>
<td>(65,310)</td>
<td>29,292</td>
<td>29,847</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Basic earnings (loss) per share:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from continuing operations</td>
<td>(1.74)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative effect of accounting change</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(2.09)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income (loss)</td>
<td>(1.75)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>(1.19)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Diluted earnings (loss) per share:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from continuing operations</td>
<td>(1.74)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative effect of accounting change</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(2.05)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income (loss)</td>
<td>(1.75)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>(1.17)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Weighted average common shares outstanding:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basic</td>
<td>56,736</td>
<td>55,969</td>
<td>54,766</td>
<td>53,995</td>
<td>52,195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diluted</td>
<td>56,736</td>
<td>57,288</td>
<td>55,782</td>
<td>55,086</td>
<td>54,166</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Balance Sheet Data(6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Working capital</td>
<td>$ 121,613</td>
<td>$ 144,829</td>
<td>$ 160,780</td>
<td>$ 200,460</td>
<td>$ 195,502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>648,043</td>
<td>808,507</td>
<td>703,232</td>
<td>669,018</td>
<td>609,732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term obligations, excluding current portion</td>
<td>74,185</td>
<td>92,346</td>
<td>107,463</td>
<td>110,000</td>
<td>111,779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockholders’ equity</td>
<td>304,616</td>
<td>397,500</td>
<td>358,205</td>
<td>412,707</td>
<td>371,168</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

(1) We have included the results of operations and financial position of Advanced Management Technology, Inc. (acquired March 5, 2004) from its acquisition date.

(2) We have included the results of operations and financial positions of Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation and Hartman Consulting Corporation (collectively acquired March 7, 2003) and Engineering Management Concepts, Inc. (acquired July 31, 2003) from their respective acquisition dates.

(3) We have included the results of operations and financial positions of Thomas Associates Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects P.C. and America’s Schoolhouse Consulting Services, Inc. (collectively acquired March 29, 2002), Hartman & Associates, Inc. (acquired March 29, 2002) and Ardaman & Associates, Inc. (acquired June 30, 2002) from their respective effective acquisition dates.

(4) We have included the results of operations and financial positions of Rocky Mountain Consultants, Inc. (acquired December 21, 2000), Wahco Construction, Inc. (acquired March 2, 2001), William, Hatfield & Stoner, Inc. (acquired March 30, 2001), Vertex Engineering Services, Inc. (acquired May 21, 2001), Maxim Technologies, Inc. (acquired May 25, 2001), Commonwealth Technology, Inc. (acquired June 1, 2001), The Design Exchange Architects, Inc. (acquired June 27, 2001), Western Utility Contractors, Inc. and Western Utility Cable, Inc. (collectively acquired June 29, 2001), Shepherd Miller, Inc. (acquired September 26, 2001) and Sciences International, Inc. (acquired September 26, 2001) from their respective effective acquisition dates.

(5) Amounts are net of tax benefit of $1.0 million for fiscal 2005 and tax expense of $0.2 million, $1.9 million, $2.1 million and $2.4 million for fiscal 2004, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

(6) Amounts include both continuing and discontinued operations.
Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains forward-looking statements regarding future events and our future results that are subject to the safe harbor provisions created under the Securities Act of 1933 (the “Securities Act”) and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”). These statements are based on current expectations, estimates, forecasts and projections about the industries in which we operate and the beliefs and assumptions of our management. Words such as “expects,” “anticipates,” “targets,” “goals,” “projects,” “intends,” “plans,” “believes,” “seeks,” “estimates,” “continues,” “may,” variations of such words, and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. In addition, any statement that refers to projections of our future financial performance, our anticipated growth and trends in our businesses, and other characterizations of future events or circumstances are forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned that these forward-looking statements are only predictions and are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict, including those identified below, as well as under the heading “Risk Factors” and elsewhere in our Annual Report on Form 10-K. Therefore, actual results may differ materially and adversely from those expressed in any forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements for any reason.

OVERVIEW

We are a leading provider of consulting, engineering and technical services in the areas of resource management and infrastructure. We also provide communications services. As a consultant, we assist our clients in defining problems and developing innovative and cost-effective solutions. These services span the lifecycle of a project and include research and development, applied science and technology, engineering design, program management, construction management, and operations and maintenance.

Since our initial public offering in December 1991, we have increased the size and scope of our business, expanded our service offerings and diversified our client base and the markets we serve through strategic acquisitions and internal growth. We expect to focus on internal growth, and to continue to pursue complementary acquisitions that expand our geographic reach and increase the breadth and depth of our service offerings to address existing and emerging markets. As of October 2, 2005, we had approximately 7,200 full-time equivalent employees worldwide, located primarily in North America in approximately 270 locations.

In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005, we divested one operating unit in the communications segment, and made arrangements to sell two other operating units in the communications and resource management segments (as discussed below under “Acquisitions and Divestitures”). The results from these operating units have been reported as discontinued operations for fiscal 2005, 2004 and 2003. Accordingly, the following discussions generally reflect summary results from our continuing operations unless otherwise noted. However, the net income (loss) and net income (loss) per share discussions include the impact of discontinued operations.

We derive our revenue from fees for professional and technical services. As a service company, we are labor-intensive rather than capital-intensive. Our revenue is driven by our ability to attract and retain qualified and productive employees, identify business opportunities, secure new and renew existing client contracts, provide outstanding services to our clients and execute projects successfully. Our income (loss) from continuing operations is derived from our ability to generate revenue and collect cash under our contracts in excess of our subcontractor costs, other contract costs, and selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses.

We provide services to a diverse base of federal and state and local government agencies, as well as commercial and international clients. The following table presents the approximate percentage of our revenue, net of subcontractor costs, by client sector:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client Sector</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal government</td>
<td>47.5%</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
<td>34.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State and local govern</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0%</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We managed our business in three reportable segments in fiscal 2005: resource management, infrastructure and communications. Management established these segments based upon the services provided, the different marketing strategies associated with these services and the specialized needs of their respective clients. Our resource management segment provides engineering and consulting services related primarily to water quality and availability, environmental restoration, productive reuse of defense facilities, and strategic environmental resource planning to both public and private organizations. Our infrastructure segment provides engineering, program management and construction management services for the additional development, upgrade and replacement of existing civil and security infrastructure to both public and private organizations. Our communications segment provides network planning, engineering, site acquisition and construction management services to telecommunications companies and cable operators.
Because of our decision to exit the wireless business and the nature of the communications infrastructure services we currently provide, we began implementing a plan to consolidate our remaining communications business into our infrastructure segment in late fiscal 2005. That process has continued in the first quarter of fiscal 2006 and we are analyzing the impact on our reportable segment disclosures.

The following table presents the approximate percentage of revenue, net of subcontractor costs, by reportable segment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reportable Segment</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resource management</td>
<td>63.9%</td>
<td>60.1%</td>
<td>59.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>33.5%</td>
<td>32.3%</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>100.0%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0%</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our services are billed under three principal contract types: fixed-price, time-and-materials, and cost-plus. The following table presents the approximate percentage of revenue, net of subcontractor costs, by contract type:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract Type</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fixed-price</td>
<td>33.0%</td>
<td>32.4%</td>
<td>37.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-and-materials</td>
<td>48.3%</td>
<td>42.7%</td>
<td>41.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost-plus</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>24.9%</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>100.0%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0%</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contract revenue and contract costs are recorded primarily using the percentage-of-completion (cost-to-cost) method. Under this method, revenue on long-term contracts is recognized in the ratio that contract costs incurred bear to total estimated costs. Revenue and profit on these contracts are subject to revision throughout the lives of the contracts and any required adjustments are made in the period in which the revisions become known. Losses on contracts are recorded in full as they are identified.

In the course of providing our services, we routinely subcontract services. Generally, these subcontractor costs are passed through to our clients and, in accordance with industry practice and generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the United States, are included in revenue. Because subcontractor services can change significantly from project to project, changes in revenue may not be indicative of business trends. Accordingly, we also report revenue, net of subcontractor costs, which is revenue less the cost of subcontractor services, and our discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations uses revenue, net of subcontractor costs, as a point of reference.

For analytical purposes only, we categorize our revenue into two types: acquisitive and organic. Acquisitive revenue consists of revenue derived from newly acquired companies during the first twelve months following their respective acquisition dates. Organic revenue consists of our total revenue less any acquisitive revenue.

Our other contract costs include professional compensation and related benefits, together with certain direct and indirect overhead costs such as rents, utilities and travel. Professional compensation represents the majority of these costs. Our SG&A expenses are comprised primarily of marketing and bid and proposal costs, and our corporate headquarters’ costs related to the executive offices, corporate finance, accounting, administration and information technology. These costs are generally unrelated to specific client projects and can vary as expenses are incurred to support corporate activities and initiatives.

Our revenue, expenses and operating results may fluctuate significantly from year to year as a result of numerous factors, including:

- Unanticipated changes in contract performance that may affect profitability, particularly with contracts that are fixed-price or have funding limits;
- The seasonality of the spending cycle of our public sector clients, notably the federal government, and the spending patterns of our commercial sector clients;
- Budget constraints experienced by our federal, state and local government clients;
- Acquisitions or the integration of acquired companies;
- Divestiture or discontinuance of operating units;
- Employee hiring, utilization and turnover rates;
- The number and significance of client contracts commenced and completed during the period;
- Creditworthiness and solvency of clients;
- The ability of our clients to terminate contracts without penalties;
- Delays incurred in connection with a contract;
- The size, scope and payment terms of contracts;
- Contract negotiations on change orders and collections of related accounts receivable;
- The timing of expenses incurred for corporate initiatives;
- Reductions in the prices of services offered by our competitors;
- Threatened or pending litigation;
- Changes in accounting rules; and
- General economic or political conditions.

We experience seasonal trends in our business. Our revenue is typically lower in the first quarter of our fiscal year, primarily due to Thanksgiving, Christmas and, in certain years, New Year’s
Trend Analysis

General. Our operating results for fiscal 2005 reflect the execution of the business plan we initiated in fiscal 2004 to improve our profitability. In particular, we have been engaged in consolidation and strategic realignment efforts that focused on exiting from unprofitable commercial contracts. As part of this process, we identified and recorded contract losses, bad debt expenses and long-lived asset impairment charges in our civil infrastructure and communications businesses, as well as in certain resource management operating units. These activities resulted in operating losses in fiscal 2005.

In the first quarter of fiscal 2006, management’s efforts will continue to focus on the exit from the wireless communications business and unprofitable construction contracts in certain resource management operating units, as well as the consolidation of our civil infrastructure and wired communications businesses. We anticipate that these wind-down and consolidation efforts will continue through the first half of fiscal 2006. These efforts have improved our profit margins in the second half of fiscal 2005, and we expect this trend will continue into fiscal 2006. The increased profitability will allow us to invest in growing our business through organic and acquisitive means.

Federal Government. In fiscal 2005, our federal government business declined slightly, compared to fiscal 2004. This was due primarily to a fiscal 2004 spike in U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) spending under our contracts in Iraq that we did not experience in fiscal 2005. Overall, we believe that our federal government business will remain steady in the first half of fiscal 2006 due partially to increased Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) spending, despite the budget constraints caused by military spending in Iraq and Afghanistan.

State and Local Government. In general, state and local governments are beginning to recover from the budget constraints and economic conditions that persisted during fiscal 2004 and early fiscal 2005. During the first half of fiscal 2005, our workload for state and local government clients declined due to increased local competition on bids and less authorized work under our indefinite quantity contracts. In the second half of fiscal 2005, our workload for these clients declined due to our decision to exit from unprofitable construction contracts. Budget surpluses are now forecasted by most states in fiscal 2006. Accordingly, we believe that our revenue from continuing operations for these clients will grow in fiscal 2006, although we will focus on profitable contracts in our areas of expertise.

Commercial. Excluding the effect of our exit from the civil construction and wireless communications businesses, our business improved with our commercial clients, particularly in the resource management segment, due to an upturn in the U.S. economy. Capital spending and discretionary environmental project funding increased in the second half of fiscal 2005, and we believe this improvement will continue through the first half of fiscal 2006. In the longer term, we believe our commercial business will continue to follow the general trends of the U.S. economy.

Acquisitions and Divestitures

Acquisitions. We acquired Tetra Tech EC, Inc. (ECI), formerly known as TetraTech FW, Inc., a unit in our resource management segment, on March 7, 2003. We also acquired Engineering Management Concepts, Inc. (EMC) and Advanced Management Technology, Inc. (AMT), two units in our infrastructure segment, on July 31, 2003 and March 5, 2004, respectively. In fiscal 2005, we made no acquisitions.

Divestitures. In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005, we completed the sale of eXpert Wireless Solutions, Inc. (EWS), a unit in our communications segment. EWS generated $6.9 million, $8.9 million and $7.5 million of revenue in fiscal 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively, and has been reported as a discontinued operation for those fiscal years.

We are currently in the process of selling two additional operating units, Tetra Tech Canada Ltd. (TTC) and Vertex Engineering Services, Inc. (VES). TTC and VES are in our communications and resource management segments, respectively. These two operating units generated a total of $61.1 million, $52.5 million and $36.1 million of revenue in fiscal 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively, and have been reported as discontinued operations for those fiscal years.

Results of Operations

Overall, our fiscal 2005 operating results were significantly impacted by charges and poor operating performance. These charges included amounts related to the goodwill and intangible impairment charges, the exit from our wireless communications business, and our exit from fixed-price construction work outside...
our normal scope of services for commercial clients. To a lesser extent, we also incurred costs associated with uncollectible accounts receivable, compliance efforts for the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (SOX), implementation of the enterprise resource planning (ERP) system, lease exit costs and long-lived asset impairment charges from certain operating units that were undergoing restructuring and office consolidation in fiscal 2005. The effect of these charges was partially offset by lower discretionary bonus payments and discretionary employee benefit plan contributions. In addition, we realized employee benefit plan forfeitures which favorably impacted earnings by $2.5 million in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005. These forfeitures resulted from employee terminations, which were primarily related to the execution of our business plan to exit the wireless communications business and eliminate civil construction work.

Specifically, in the second quarter of fiscal 2005, due to significantly lower than expected operating results, a substantial loss in the infrastructure segment and a downward adjustment in forecasted future operating income and cash flows, we conducted an assessment of the infrastructure segment for goodwill impairment and recorded a non-cash impairment charge of $105.0 million.

We recorded substantial charges in connection with our decision to exit the wireless communications business. During the second quarter of fiscal 2005, we returned approximately one-half of the remaining work covered by our contract with Nextel Operations, Inc. (Nextel), which significantly reduced the total expected revenue under this contract. In addition, we increased the total estimated costs on the remaining Nextel work due to cost increases that were expected to continue for the remainder of the contract. We recalculated the percentage stage of completion for the contract and recorded a reduction of revenue of approximately $24 million and a charge to contract costs of approximately $11 million as a provision for future losses on the remainder of the contract. In the second half of fiscal 2005, we returned additional uncompleted work to Nextel. We believe that we have reserved for all anticipated contract losses as we complete the exit from this contract in the first half of fiscal 2006.

In fiscal 2005, based upon our operating results and future business opportunities in the civil infrastructure and wired communications businesses, we continued the consolidation of certain operations within these two business segments. In addition, we experienced negative operating results in one operating unit within our resource management segment. Based on this analysis and our continuing review of the operations, we identified and recorded contract losses, bad debt expenses, lease exit costs and long-lived asset impairment charges in fiscal 2005.

As we continue to implement our consolidation plan, we expect to take similar but smaller charges to earnings in the first half of fiscal 2006.

Fiscal 2005 Compared to Fiscal 2004

Consolidated Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fiscal Year Ended</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>$</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>October 2, 2005</td>
<td>October 3, 2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>$1,286,031</td>
<td>$1,376,159</td>
<td>$(90,128)</td>
<td>(6.5)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcontractor costs</td>
<td>386,852</td>
<td>400,950</td>
<td>(14,098)</td>
<td>(3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue, net of subcontractor costs</td>
<td>899,179</td>
<td>975,209</td>
<td>(76,030)</td>
<td>(7.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other contract costs</td>
<td>784,588</td>
<td>823,950</td>
<td>(39,362)</td>
<td>(4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit</td>
<td>114,591</td>
<td>151,259</td>
<td>36,668</td>
<td>(24.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selling, general and administrative expenses</td>
<td>122,646</td>
<td>101,612</td>
<td>21,034</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets</td>
<td>105,612</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>105,612</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from operations</td>
<td>(113,667)</td>
<td>49,647</td>
<td>(163,314)</td>
<td>(329.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest expense—net</td>
<td>11,163</td>
<td>9,675</td>
<td>1,488</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (benefit)</td>
<td>(124,830)</td>
<td>39,972</td>
<td>(164,802)</td>
<td>(412.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax expense (benefit)</td>
<td>(26,152)</td>
<td>16,503</td>
<td>(42,655)</td>
<td>(258.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from continuing operations</td>
<td>(98,678)</td>
<td>23,469</td>
<td>(122,147)</td>
<td>(520.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax</td>
<td>(791)</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>(1,064)</td>
<td>(389.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income (loss)</td>
<td>$(99,469)</td>
<td>$23,742</td>
<td>$(123,211)</td>
<td>(519.0)%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Revenue decreased $90.1 million, or 6.5%, in fiscal 2005, compared to fiscal 2004. The decrease was primarily due to our decision to exit the wireless communications business and return work to Nextel in fiscal 2005. As we executed our business plan to improve profitability by eliminating civil construction work, revenue decreased further in civil infrastructure, wired communications business and one operating unit in the resource management segment. To a lesser extent, we experienced a reduction in our federal work from the DoD due to the slowdown
of our unexploded ordnance (UXO) project in Iraq and a decline in our federal work with the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). The decline was partially offset by growth in commercial environmental management and water resources programs in our resource management segment, as well as the acquisitive revenue contributed by AMT from federal government clients in the first half of fiscal 2005.

Revenue, net of subcontractor costs, decreased $76.0 million, or 7.8%, in fiscal 2005, compared to fiscal 2004, primarily due to the reasons described above. In addition, the decrease was partially caused by slightly higher subcontracting requirements in fiscal 2004, which can vary significantly by project and by phases within a project.

The following table presents the percentage relationship of certain items to revenue, net of subcontractor costs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year Ended</th>
<th>October 2, 2005</th>
<th>October 3, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue, net of subcontractor costs</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other contract costs</td>
<td>87.3</td>
<td>84.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selling, general and administrative expenses</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from operations</td>
<td>(12.6)</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest expense—net</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (benefit)</td>
<td>(13.8)</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax expense (benefit)</td>
<td>(2.9)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from continuing operations</td>
<td>(10.9)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income (loss)</td>
<td>(11.0)%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other contract costs decreased $39.4 million, or 4.8%, in fiscal 2005, compared to fiscal 2004. In connection with the execution of our business plan to improve profitability by eliminating civil construction work, other contract costs declined in the wired communications and infrastructure segments in fiscal 2005 due to workload reduction compared to fiscal 2004. In addition, we experienced a decrease in other contract costs related to our exit from the wireless communications business and the return of certain uncompleted work to Nextel. These were partially offset by increases in the resource management segment, which was primarily due to project claims and contract losses incurred by one operating unit that performed fixed-price construction work outside our normal scope of services. In addition, we had a full year of other contract costs associated with AMT in fiscal 2005, compared to a half year in fiscal 2004.

As a percentage of revenue, net of subcontractor costs, other contract costs were 87.3% and 84.5% in fiscal 2005 and 2004, respectively. The increase was due to the decrease of revenue under the Nextel contract, and the increase in total estimated costs on our remaining Nextel work in the second half of fiscal 2005. Further, the project claims and contract losses described above contributed to the percentage increase in fiscal 2005.

Gross profit decreased $36.7 million, or 24.2%, in fiscal 2005, compared to fiscal 2004. We experienced decreases related to work under the Nextel contract in the wireless communications business, fixed-price construction work in the resource management segment and civil construction work in the infrastructure segment. The decreases were partially offset by increased gross profit related to AMT and the wired communications business, which incurred significant charges in fiscal 2004. As a percentage of revenue, net of subcontractor costs, gross profit was 12.7% and 15.5% in fiscal 2005 and 2004, respectively, for the reasons described above.

SG&A expenses increased $21.0 million, or 20.7%, in fiscal 2005, compared to fiscal 2004. The increase was primarily due to uncollectible accounts receivable, compliance efforts for the requirements of SOX, implementation of our ERP system, lease exit costs and long-lived asset impairment charges from certain operating units that were undergoing restructuring and office consolidations in fiscal 2005. The increase was partially offset by lower discretionary bonus payments and discretionary employee benefit plan contributions. In addition, we realized employee benefit plan forfeitures which favorably impacted earnings by $2.5 million in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005. As a percentage of revenue, net of subcontractor costs, SG&A expenses increased to 13.6% in fiscal 2005 from 10.4% in fiscal 2004. Our SG&A expenses may continue to vary as we continue implementation of our ERP system and fund growth initiatives in fiscal 2006.

In the second quarter of fiscal 2005, we performed an interim test of goodwill for impairment for our infrastructure segment due to the significant loss from operations and the downward forecast of our future operating income and cash flows for that reporting unit. As a result, together with the impairment of certain other intangible assets, we recognized a non-cash impairment charge of $105.6 million.

Net interest expense in fiscal 2005 increased $1.5 million, or 15.4%, compared to fiscal 2004. This increase was primarily caused by higher interest rates on our debt due to increased fees under our amended Credit Agreement and Note Purchase Agreement. Borrowings under our credit facility and indebtedness outstanding under our senior secured notes averaged $143.6 million at a weighted average interest rate of 6.6% per annum in
fiscal 2005, compared to $150.9 million at a weighted average interest rate of 5.8% per annum in fiscal 2004.

For fiscal 2005, income tax expense decreased $42.7 million to a benefit of $26.2 million, compared to an expense of $16.5 million for fiscal 2004, primarily due to the losses incurred in fiscal 2005. Our effective tax rate decreased to 21.0% in fiscal 2005 from 41.3% in fiscal 2004. The decrease in the effective tax rate was principally caused by the nondeductibility of a majority of the goodwill impairment charge.

Loss from discontinued operations was $0.8 million, net of tax benefit of $1.0 million, in fiscal 2005, compared to net income of $0.3 million, net of tax of $0.2 million, in fiscal 2004. The fiscal 2005 loss was partially offset by a gain of $2.4 million, net of tax benefit of $1.5 million, on the discontinued operation disposals. Discontinued operations generated $68.0 million and $61.4 million of revenue in fiscal 2005 and fiscal 2004, respectively.

Results of Operations by Reportable Segment

Resource Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year Ended</th>
<th>October 2, 2005</th>
<th>October 3, 2004</th>
<th>$</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue, net of subcontractor costs</td>
<td>$574,275</td>
<td>$585,807</td>
<td>$ (11,532)</td>
<td>(2.0)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other contract costs</td>
<td>471,740</td>
<td>471,543</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit</td>
<td>$102,535</td>
<td>$114,264</td>
<td>$ (11,729)</td>
<td>(10.3)%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following table presents the percentage relationship of certain items to revenue, net of subcontractor costs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year Ended</th>
<th>October 2, 2005</th>
<th>October 3, 2004</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue, net of subcontractor costs</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other contract costs</td>
<td>82.1%</td>
<td>80.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Resource management revenue, net of subcontractor costs, decreased $11.5 million, or 2.0%, in fiscal 2005, compared to fiscal 2004. The decrease was primarily due to loss contracts in one operating unit that performed fixed-price construction work outside our normal scope of services. In addition, our federal work with the DoD declined due to the slowdown of our UXO project in Iraq, as well as budget constraints resulting from the costs of military action in Iraq and Afghanistan. The decrease was partially offset by revenue growth in commercial environmental management work for our Fortune 500 clients in connection with their power utility and water resources programs.

Other contract costs were flat in fiscal 2005 compared to fiscal 2004. As a percentage of revenue, net of subcontractor costs, other contract costs were 82.1% and 80.5% for fiscal 2005 and 2004, respectively. The percentage increase was partially due to the change in our mix of business, which resulted in more contracts with lower profit margins.

Gross profit decreased $11.7 million, or 10.3%, in fiscal 2005, compared to fiscal 2004, for the reasons described above. As a percentage of revenue, net of subcontractor costs, gross profit was 17.9% and 19.5% in fiscal 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Infrastructure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year Ended</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>$</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue, net of subcontractor costs</td>
<td>$301,628</td>
<td>$315,301</td>
<td>$(13,673)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other contract costs</td>
<td>255,274</td>
<td>264,002</td>
<td>(8,728)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit</td>
<td>$46,354</td>
<td>$51,299</td>
<td>$(4,945)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following table presents the percentage relationship of certain items to revenue, net of subcontractor costs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year Ended</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue, net of subcontractor costs</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other contract costs</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>83.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Infrastructure revenue, net of subcontractor costs, decreased $13.7 million, or 4.3%, in fiscal 2005, compared to fiscal 2004. The decrease resulted from the execution of our business plan to improve profitability by closing and consolidating unprofitable civil infrastructure operations. As part of this plan, we reduced our workforce in this business by approximately 210 full-time equivalents, or 11.6%, which adversely impacted our revenue, net of subcontractor costs, in fiscal 2005. The decline also resulted from increased local competition on bids for state and local government projects and less authorized work under our indefinite quantity contracts. The decline was partially offset by the increase in our mix of business, which resulted in more contracts with lower profit margins.

Other contract costs decreased $8.7 million, or 3.3%, in fiscal 2005, compared to fiscal 2004. As a result of our efforts to close and consolidate offices and reduce headcount in the civil infrastructure operations, other contract costs decreased in fiscal 2005. The decrease was in line with the revenue reduction, particularly in the second half of fiscal 2005. This was partially offset by the increase in our mix of business, which resulted in more contracts with lower profit margins.
in fiscal 2005, compared to a half year in fiscal 2004. As a percentage of revenue, net of subcontractor costs, other contract costs were 84.6% and 83.7% for fiscal 2005 and 2004, respectively. The slight percentage increase was caused by poor contract execution and overhead inefficiencies in the civil infrastructure business during the first half of fiscal 2005.

Gross profit decreased $4.9 million, or 9.6%, in fiscal 2005, compared to fiscal 2004, for the reasons described above. As a percentage of revenue, net of subcontractor costs, gross profit was 15.4% and 16.3% in fiscal 2005 and 2004, respectively.

### Communications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year Ended</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>October 2, 2005</td>
<td>October 3, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>($ in thousands)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue, net of subcontractor costs</td>
<td>23,276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other contract costs</td>
<td>57,574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross loss</td>
<td>(34,298)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following table presents the percentage relationship of certain items to revenue, net of subcontractor costs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year Ended</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>October 2, 2005</td>
<td>October 3, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue, net of subcontractor costs</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other contract costs</td>
<td>247.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross loss</td>
<td>(147.4)%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Communications revenue, net of subcontractor costs, decreased $50.8 million, or 68.6%, in fiscal 2005, compared to fiscal 2004. The decrease resulted from our decision to exit the wireless communications business and return work to Nextel, which resulted in $36.5 million of revenue decline. In addition, our wired communications business experienced $15.1 million of revenue reduction, which resulted from decreased headcount and from closing and consolidating offices that performed civil construction work.

Other contract costs decreased $30.8 million, or 34.9%, in fiscal 2005, compared to fiscal 2004, primarily due to the decrease in revenue. However, these costs decreased at a lower rate than revenue due to higher costs in the second quarter of fiscal 2005 caused by contract concessions, cost overruns, workforce overcapacity and substantial charges in connection with our exit from the wireless communications business and the civil construction operations in the wired communications business.

Gross loss increased to $34.3 million in fiscal 2005, compared to the gross loss of $14.3 million in fiscal 2004. The increase was primarily due to negative revenue adjustments and contract losses related to the Nextel contract.

### Fiscal 2004 Compared to Fiscal 2003

#### Revenue

The following table presents revenue by reportable segment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year Ended</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>October 3, 2004</td>
<td>September 28, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>($ in thousands)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource management</td>
<td>861,545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>393,929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>163,814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment total</td>
<td>1,419,288</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) The inter-segment revenue is completely eliminated against our inter-segment subcontractor costs.

Our revenue for fiscal 2004 increased $289.2 million, or 26.6%, compared to fiscal 2003. This increase was primarily due to our acquisitions of ECI, EMC and AMT. In addition, revenue increased due to stronger organic growth in our federal government business and one large wireless communications project that started in the second half of fiscal 2003 and continued throughout fiscal 2004. However, the higher volume of work in these businesses was partially offset by the revenue decline from our state and local government and other commercial clients.

#### Resource Management

Compared to fiscal 2003, our resource management revenue in fiscal 2004 increased $177.3 million, or 25.9%, of which $143.9 million was due to ECI acquisitive growth. The balance of the increase resulted primarily from the growth in defense spending, as well as spending on water and water resources programs by federal clients.

#### Infrastructure

Revenue in fiscal 2004 increased $68.1 million, or 20.9%, compared to fiscal 2003. Revenue grew $100.8 million due to acquisitions of EMC in July 2003 and AMT in March 2004. These operations provide systems support and security services to federal government clients. This increase was partially offset by a $22.4 million decline in state and local government revenue.

#### Communications

Revenue in fiscal 2004 increased $51.0 million, or 45.3%, compared to fiscal 2003. This increase was primarily due to the expansion of the Nextel wireless project, together with an increase in our wired projects for commercial clients.
Subcontractor Costs

Our subcontractor costs in fiscal 2004 increased $138.5 million, or 52.8%, compared to fiscal 2003 primarily due to the overall growth in revenue. We also experienced growth in program management activities on federal government contracts, which typically resulted in higher levels of subcontracting activities that were driven by government mandated set-aside requirements. In addition, as we experienced increased workload in our wired and wireless communications businesses, we utilized significant subcontractor activities to complete the fieldwork.

Revenue, Net of Subcontractor Costs

The following table presents revenue, net of subcontractor costs, by reportable segment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year Ended</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>October 3, 2004</td>
<td>September 28, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource management</td>
<td>$ 585,807</td>
<td>$ 486,729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>315,301</td>
<td>269,499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>74,101</td>
<td>68,354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total revenue, net of subcontractor costs</td>
<td>$ 975,209</td>
<td>$ 824,582</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Revenue, net of subcontractor costs, in fiscal 2004 increased $150.6 million, or 18.3%, compared to fiscal 2003, due to acquisitive revenue, net of subcontractor costs, from ECI, EMC and AMT.

Resource Management. Revenue, net of subcontractor costs, increased $99.1 million, or 20.4%, in fiscal 2004, compared to fiscal 2003. This growth was attributable primarily to our acquisition of ECI in March 2003. ECI contributed $84.8 million of acquisitive revenue, net of subcontractor costs, in fiscal 2004. We also experienced an increase from federal government clients, particularly the DoD and DOE, partially offset by a decline from commercial and state and local government clients.

Infrastructure. Revenue, net of subcontractor costs, increased $45.8 million, or 17.0%, in fiscal 2004, compared to fiscal 2003. This increase was attributable to our EMC and AMT acquisitions, which contributed $64.6 million in acquisitive growth, substantially all of which was from federal government clients. This increase was offset by an $18.8 million decrease in our civil infrastructure business area, principally caused by reduced spending by state and local government clients.

Communications. Revenue, net of subcontractor costs, increased $5.7 million, or 8.4%, in fiscal 2004, compared to fiscal 2003. This increase was primarily attributable to growth in our wired communications business.

The following table presents the percentage relationship of certain items to revenue, net of subcontractor costs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year Ended</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>October 3, 2004</td>
<td>September 28, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue, net of subcontractor costs</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other contract costs</td>
<td>84.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selling, general and administrative expenses</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income from operations</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest expense—net</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income before income tax expense</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax expense</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income from continuing operations</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income from discontinued operations, net of tax</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income before cumulative effect of accounting change</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative effect of accounting change</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income (loss)</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other Contract Costs

The following table presents other contract costs attributable by reportable segment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year Ended</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>October 3, 2004</td>
<td>September 28, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource management</td>
<td>$ 471,543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>264,002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>88,405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total other contract costs</td>
<td>$ 823,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other contract costs as a percentage of revenue, net of subcontractor costs</td>
<td>84.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other contract costs in fiscal 2004 increased $171.4 million, or 26.3%, compared to fiscal 2003. The increase in other contract costs was due primarily to an increase in revenue. As a percentage of revenue, net of subcontractor costs, other contract costs were 84.5% in fiscal 2004 compared to 79.1% in fiscal 2003. The percentage increase was due primarily to higher than expected costs in our infrastructure and communications segments.

Resource Management. Other contract costs in fiscal 2004 increased $86.2 million, or 22.4%, compared to fiscal 2003. This increase was primarily due to an increase in revenue, net of subcontractor costs, resulting from the ECI acquisition.
Infrastructure. Other contract costs in fiscal 2004 increased $50.2 million, or 23.5%, compared to fiscal 2003. This increase was primarily due to the increased revenue and associated other contract costs related to the EMC and AMT acquisitions. However, our revenue, net of subcontractor costs, from organic business decreased significantly more than our other contract costs. The disproportionately higher other contract costs resulted from workforce and facility overcapacity as well as charges taken on poorly managed projects.

Communications. Other contract costs in fiscal 2004 increased $35.0 million, or 65.4%, compared to fiscal 2003. This increase was partially due to growth in revenue. In addition, our other contract costs increased more than revenue, net of subcontractor costs, due to:

- Bidding on and accepting contracts with unfavorable terms and conditions;
- Performing on projects without properly defined scopes;
- Maintaining low levels of productivity;
- Entering into projects that were outside our technical competency; and
- Negotiating concessions on outstanding accounts receivable amounts with Nextel.

In addition, we had significant contract losses on several projects, including work with Nextel and certain civil construction projects that were outside of our core competency.

Gross Profit

The following table presents gross profit by reportable segment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fiscal Year Ended</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>$</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>October 3, 2004</td>
<td>September 28, 2003</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource management</td>
<td>$114,264</td>
<td>$101,399</td>
<td>$12,865</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>$51,299</td>
<td>$55,725</td>
<td>$(4,426)</td>
<td>(7.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>(14,304)</td>
<td>14,900</td>
<td>(29,204)</td>
<td>(196.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total gross profit</td>
<td>$151,259</td>
<td>$172,024</td>
<td>$(20,765)</td>
<td>(12.1)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit as a percentage of revenue, net of subcontractor costs</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In fiscal 2004, gross profit decreased by $20.8 million, or 12.1%, compared to fiscal 2003 due to the disproportionate increase in other contract costs compared to the increase in revenue, net of subcontractor costs. This decrease in gross profit was partially offset by the contributions from the ECI, EMC and AMT acquisitions. As a percentage of revenue, net of subcontractor costs, gross profit decreased to 15.5% in fiscal 2004 from 20.9% in fiscal 2003. This decrease was attributable to poor project management, contract losses and overcapacity in our infrastructure and communications segments.

Resource Management. Gross profit in fiscal 2004 increased $12.9 million, or 12.7%, compared to fiscal 2003. This increase was primarily due to the revenue increase. However, gross profit as a percentage of revenue, net of subcontractor costs, decreased in fiscal 2004 as a result of a $2.6 million loss on a project and a decline in higher margin revenue from commercial clients.

Infrastructure. Gross profit in fiscal 2004 decreased $4.4 million, or 7.9%, compared to fiscal 2003. This decrease was primarily due to lower than expected revenue, which resulted in overcapacity in headcount and leased facilities throughout the year in our civil infrastructure business. In the third and fourth quarters of fiscal 2004, we consolidated our operations in this segment by closing or combining offices, reducing headcount and streamlining management. This decrease in gross profit was partially offset by the increases resulting from the AMT and EMC acquisitions.

Communications. Gross profit in fiscal 2004 decreased $29.2 million, or 196.0%, compared to fiscal 2003. This decrease was primarily due to lower than expected margins resulting from project cost overruns, failure to successfully negotiate change orders, and contract concessions. Further, certain businesses within this segment entered into contracts outside of our technical competency, which resulted in significant charges in the fourth quarter. As a result of continuing poor performance, we accelerated the consolidation of our operations.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

SG&A expenses in fiscal 2004 increased $16.9 million, or 19.9%, compared to fiscal 2003, primarily due to increases in the SG&A expenses associated with acquisitions made in fiscal 2003 and 2004. In addition, we incurred approximately $1.3 million and $1.0 million of costs in fiscal 2004 related to the implementation of our ERP system and the requirements of SOX, respectively. We also recognized $1.1 million of severance expense for our former president. As a percentage of revenue, net of subcontractor costs, SG&A expenses increased to 10.4% in fiscal 2004 from 10.3% for fiscal 2003.

Income from Operations

The following table presents income from operations by reportable segment:
Fiscal Year Ended Change  
October 3, 2004  September 28, 2003  $  %  
(5 in thousands)  
Resource management  $61,935  $61,305  $630  1.0%  
Infrastructure  18,419  25,722  (7,303)  (28.4)  
Communications  (23,933)  5,707  (29,640)  (519.4)  
Segment total  56,421  92,734  (36,313)  (39.2)  
Amortization of intangibles and other expense, net  (6,774)  (5,462)  (1,312)  (24.0)  
Total income from operations  $49,647  $87,272  (37,625)  (43.1)%  
Income from operations as a percentage of revenue, net of subcontractor costs  5.1%  10.6%  

Income from operations in fiscal 2004 decreased $37.6 million, or 43.1%, compared to fiscal 2003. This decrease was primarily attributable to weakness in our civil infrastructure and communications businesses, which was partially offset by the contributions from the ECI, EMC and AMT acquisitions.

Resource Management. Income from operations in fiscal 2004 increased $0.6 million, or 1.0%, compared to fiscal 2003, due to the ECI acquisition, which resulted in increased income but at a relatively lower operating margin. This increase was partially offset by a $2.6 million loss on one project in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2004, an increase in SG&A and the decrease in higher margin commercial business throughout fiscal 2004.

Infrastructure. Income from operations in fiscal 2004 decreased $7.3 million, or 28.4%, compared to fiscal 2003, due to the decline in our civil infrastructure business. Income from operations was significantly affected by overcapacity in certain civil infrastructure operations. Our overcapacity of personnel and leased facilities led to increased costs on continuing projects. In addition, we experienced increased losses on contracts and allowances for doubtful accounts due to work accepted under unfavorable terms. We initiated actions to reduce capacity in the third and fourth quarters of fiscal 2004. The decrease in operating income was partially offset by an increase in our systems support and security operations.

Communications. Income from operations in fiscal 2003 became a loss in fiscal 2004, a decline of $29.6 million, or 519.4% compared to fiscal 2003. This significant decrease was partially due to write-offs and contract concessions related to the Nextel wireless project. In addition, the decline in our wired communications workload during fiscal 2004 caused certain operating units in this area to bid on and perform work outside of our technical competency, which resulted in project mismanagement and substantial losses in the fourth quarter.

Net Interest Expense

Net interest expense in fiscal 2004 increased $0.4 million, or 4.3%, compared to fiscal 2003. This increase was primarily due to higher borrowings used in connection with the AMT acquisition that was somewhat offset by lower variable interest rates on borrowings on our credit facility. Borrowings under our credit facility and indebtedness outstanding under our senior secured notes averaged $150.9 million at a weighted average interest rate of 5.8% per annum in fiscal 2004, compared to $134.6 million at a weighted average interest rate of 6.3% per annum in fiscal 2003.

Income Tax Expense

Income tax expense in fiscal 2004 decreased $14.9 million, or 47.4%, compared to fiscal 2003, primarily due to lower income before tax expense. However, our effective tax rate increased from 40.2% in fiscal 2003 to 41.3% in fiscal 2004, primarily caused by the impact of non-deductible expenses relative to the lower pre-tax income in fiscal 2004 compared to fiscal 2003.

Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change

We adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, effective September 30, 2002. The adoption of this standard required us to discontinue the amortization of goodwill and to test the net book value of goodwill for impairment. The cumulative effect of adopting this standard resulted in the recognition of an impairment of $114.7 million in net goodwill attributable to acquisitions in our communications segment.

Net Income

Net income in fiscal 2004 was $23.7 million, compared to a net loss of $65.3 million in fiscal 2003, as a result of the cumulative effect of accounting change in fiscal 2003. Our income before the cumulative effect of accounting change in fiscal 2004 decreased $25.6 million, or 51.9%, compared to fiscal 2003. Net income in fiscal 2004 was lower as a result of significantly lower income from operations, slightly higher net interest expense, and a slightly higher effective tax rate, offset by the cumulative effect of accounting change in fiscal 2003.
Liquidity and Capital Resources

The following discussion generally reflects the impact of both continuing and discontinued operations unless otherwise noted.

Working Capital. As of October 2, 2005, our working capital was $121.6 million, a decrease of $23.2 million from $144.8 million as of October 3, 2004. Cash and cash equivalents totaled $26.9 million as of October 2, 2005, compared to $48.0 million as of October 3, 2004.

Operating and Investing Activities. In fiscal 2005, net cash of $48.5 million was provided by operating activities and $16.7 million was used in investing activities, of which $8.4 million was related to earn-outs and other purchase price adjustments for prior year business acquisitions. In fiscal 2004, net cash of $16.2 million was provided by operating activities and $44.7 million was used in investing activities, of which $28.9 million was related to business acquisitions.

Our net accounts receivable from continuing operations decreased $41.8 million, or 11.7%, to $315.4 million as of October 2, 2005 from $357.2 million as of October 3, 2004. As of October 2, 2005, our billing in excess of costs on uncompleted contracts increased $19.7 million, compared to October 3, 2004. These changes resulted from our focus on contract billing and collection efforts, the impact of the work reduction under the Nextel contract and provisions on receivables.

Capital Expenditures. Our capital expenditures for fiscal 2005 and 2004 were $9.8 million and $17.9 million, respectively. This reduction resulted from the significant decrease in equipment purchases in the communications business, which was historically capital-intensive, and lower capitalized costs associated with our ERP system, which is now in the implementation phase. We estimate that the capitalized costs associated with the development of the ERP system, including hardware, software licenses, consultants and internal staffing costs, will be approximately $2.6 million in fiscal 2006. Installation of the ERP software in our operating units began in fiscal 2005. To date, the system has been installed at corporate headquarters and in seven of our operating units. Implementation of the system in our remaining business operations will be phased in over the next three years.

Debt Financing. We have a credit agreement with several financial institutions, which was amended in July 2004, December 2004 and May 2005 (Credit Agreement). The May 2005 amendment decreased the commitment under our revolving credit facility (Facility) from $235.0 million to $150.0 million. However, the maximum availability under the Facility is limited to $125.0 million unless we seek and receive additional approvals from our lenders. As part of the Facility, we may request standby letters of credit up to the aggregate sum of $100.0 million. The Facility matures on July 21, 2009, or earlier at our discretion, upon payment in full of loans and other obligations. The May 2005 amendment also increased the pricing for borrowings under the Facility and revised our financial covenants.

As of October 2, 2005, we had no borrowings under the Facility. Standby letters of credit under the Facility totaled $12.0 million as of that date.

In May 2001, we issued two series of senior secured notes in the aggregate amount of $110.0 million (Senior Notes) under a note purchase agreement that was amended in September 2001, April 2003, December 2004 and May 2005 (Note Purchase Agreement). The Series A Notes, in the original amount of $92.0 million, are payable semi-annually and mature on May 30, 2011. The Series B Notes, in the original amount of $18.0 million, are payable semi-annually and mature on May 30, 2008. The May 2005 amendment increased the interest rates on the Series A Notes from 7.28% to 8.28% and on the Series B Notes from 7.08% to 8.08%. However, these interest rates will return to their pre-amendment rates if we meet certain covenant compliance criteria for three consecutive fiscal quarters. The May 2005 amendment also revised our financial covenants.

As of October 2, 2005, the outstanding principal balance on the Senior Notes was $89.7 million. Scheduled principal payments of $16.7 million are due on May 30, 2006 and, accordingly, were included in current portion of long-term obligations. The remaining $73.0 million was included in long-term obligations as of October 2, 2005.

In addition to the revised financial covenants, the amendments to the Credit Agreement and Note Purchase Agreement increased the restrictions on our ability to incur other debt, repurchase stock, engage in acquisitions or dispose of assets. Further, these agreements contain other restrictions, including but not limited to, the creation of liens and the payment of dividends on our capital stock (other than stock dividends). Borrowings under the Credit Agreement and Note Purchase Agreement are secured by our accounts receivable, the stock of certain of our subsidiaries and our cash, deposit accounts, investment property and financial assets. Although we were not in compliance with certain financial covenants during fiscal 2005 before the May 2005 amendments, we met all compliance requirements as of October 2, 2005. We expect to be in compliance over the next twelve months.
Capital Requirements. We expect that internally generated funds, our existing cash balances and borrowing capacity under the Credit Agreement will be sufficient to meet our capital requirements for the next twelve months.

Acquisitions. In conjunction with our investment strategy, we continuously evaluate the marketplace for strategic acquisition opportunities. Historically, due to our reputation, size, geographic presence and range of services, we have been presented with numerous opportunities to acquire both privately held companies and subsidiaries or divisions of publicly held companies. Once an opportunity is identified, we examine the effect an acquisition may have on our long-range business strategy, as well as on our results of business operations. Generally, we proceed with an acquisition if we believe that the acquisition will have a positive effect on future operations and could strategically expand our service offerings. As successful integration and implementation are essential to achieve favorable results, no assurance can be given that all acquisitions will provide accretive results. Our strategy is to position ourselves to address existing and emerging markets. We may acquire other businesses that we believe are synergistic and will ultimately increase our revenue and net income. These businesses also perform work that is consistent with our short-term and long-term strategic goals, provide critical mass with existing clients, and further expand our lines of service. These factors contribute to a purchase price that results in a recognition of goodwill. As indicated above, acquisitions in excess of a certain size will require the approval of our lenders and noteholders.

Inflation. We believe our operations have not been, and, in the foreseeable future, are not expected to be, materially adversely affected by inflation or changing prices due to the average duration of our projects and our ability to negotiate prices as contracts end and new contracts begin. However, general economic conditions may impact our client base, and, as such, may impact our clients’ creditworthiness and our ability to collect cash to meet our operating needs.

Contractual Obligations. The following sets forth our contractual obligations, excluding interest, as of October 2, 2005:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principal Payments Due by Period</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2 - 3</th>
<th>Year 4 - 5</th>
<th>Beyond</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(In thousands)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term debt</td>
<td>$ 89,657</td>
<td>$ 16,743</td>
<td>$ 33,486</td>
<td>$ 26,286</td>
<td>$ 13,142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital lease</td>
<td>2,281</td>
<td>1,057</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating lease</td>
<td>110,940</td>
<td>26,658</td>
<td>37,238</td>
<td>23,592</td>
<td>23,452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$202,878</td>
<td>$44,458</td>
<td>$71,239</td>
<td>$50,089</td>
<td>$37,092</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The presentation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenue and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. We base our estimates and assumptions on historical experience and on various other factors that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

The accounting policies that we believe are the most critical to an investor’s understanding of our financial results and condition and require complex management judgment are discussed below. Information regarding our other accounting policies is included in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report.

Revenue Recognition

We earn our revenue from fixed-price, time-and-materials and cost-plus contracts. As of October 2, 2005, we had over 10,000 active projects, none of which represented more than 10% of our revenue, net of subcontractor costs, for fiscal 2005.

We account for most of our contracts on the percentage-of-completion method, under which revenue is recognized as costs are incurred. Under this method for revenue recognition, we estimate the progress towards completion to determine the amount of revenue and profit to recognize on all significant contracts. We generally utilize a cost-to-cost approach in applying the percentage-of-completion method, under which revenue is earned in proportion to total costs incurred, divided by total costs expected to be incurred.

Under the percentage-of-completion method, recognition of profit is dependent upon the accuracy of a variety of estimates, including engineering progress, materials quantities, achievement of milestones and other incentives, penalty provisions, labor productivity and cost estimates. Such estimates are based on various judgments we make with respect to those factors and are difficult to accurately determine until the project is significantly underway. Due to uncertainties inherent in the estimation process, it is possible that actual completion costs may vary from estimates. If estimated total costs on any contract indicate a loss, we charge the entire estimated loss to operations in the period the loss first becomes known.
We enter into three major types of contracts: “fixed-price,” “time-and-materials” and “cost-plus” as described below.

Fixed-Price Contracts

Firm Fixed-Price (FFP). Our FFP contracts have historically accounted for most of our fixed-price contracts. Under FFP contracts, our clients pay us an agreed amount negotiated in advance for a specified scope of work. We recognize revenue on FFP contracts using the percentage-of-completion method described above. Prior to completion, our recognized profit margins on any FFP contract depend on the accuracy of our estimates and will increase to the extent that our actual costs are below the contracted amounts. Conversely, if our costs exceed these estimates, our profit margins will decrease and we may realize a loss on a project. If our actual costs exceed the original estimate, we must obtain a change order or contract modification, or successfully prevail in a claim, in order to receive payment for the additional costs.

Fixed-Price Per Unit (FPPU). Under our FPPU contracts, clients pay us a set fee for each service or unit of production. We are generally guaranteed a minimum number of service or production units at a fixed price. We recognize revenue under FPPU contracts as we complete the related service transaction for our clients. If our costs per service transaction exceed our original estimates, our profit margins will decrease and we may realize a loss on the project unless we can obtain a change order or contract modification, or successfully prevail in a claim, in order to receive payment for the additional costs. Certain of our FPPU contracts may be subject to maximum contract values and, accordingly, revenue related to these contracts is recognized as if these contracts were fixed-price contracts.

Time-and-Materials Contracts

Under our time-and-materials contracts, we negotiate hourly billing rates and charge our clients based on the actual time that we expend on a project. In addition, clients reimburse us for our actual out-of-pocket costs of materials and other direct incidental expenditures that we incur in connection with our performance under the contract. Our profit margins on time-and-materials contracts fluctuate based on actual labor and overhead costs that we directly charge or allocate to contracts compared to negotiated billing rates. Many of our time-and-materials contracts are subject to maximum contract values and, accordingly, revenue related to these contracts is recognized as if these contracts were fixed-price contracts. Revenue on contracts that are not subject to maximum contract values is recognized based on the actual number of hours we spend on the projects plus any actual out-of-pocket costs of materials and other direct incidental expenditures that we incur on the projects. Our time-and-materials contracts also generally include annual billing rate adjustment provisions.

Cost-Plus Contracts

Cost-Plus Fixed Fee. Under cost-plus fixed fee contracts, we charge our clients for our costs, including both direct and indirect costs, plus a fixed negotiated fee. In negotiating a cost-plus fixed fee contract, we estimate all recoverable direct and indirect costs and then add a fixed profit component. The total estimated cost plus the negotiated fee represents the total contract value. We recognize revenue based on the actual labor costs, plus non-labor costs we incur, plus the portion of the fixed fee we have earned to date. We invoice for our services as revenue is recognized or in accordance with agreed-upon billing schedules. If the actual costs are lower than the total costs we have estimated, our revenue related to cost recoveries from the project will be lower than originally estimated. If the actual costs exceed the original estimate, we must obtain a change order or contract modification, or successfully prevail in a claim, in order to receive additional fee related to the additional costs. Certain of our cost-plus contracts may be subject to maximum contract values and, accordingly, revenue relating to these contracts is recognized as if these contracts were fixed-price contracts.

Cost-Plus Fixed Rate. Under our cost-plus fixed rate contracts, we charge clients for our costs plus negotiated rates based on our indirect costs. In negotiating a cost-plus fixed rate contract, we estimate all recoverable direct and indirect costs and then add a profit component, which is a percentage of total recoverable costs, to arrive at a total dollar estimate for the project. We recognize revenue based on the actual total costs we have expended plus the applicable fixed fee. If the actual total costs are lower than the total costs we have estimated, our revenue from that project will be lower than originally estimated. Certain of our cost-plus contracts may be subject to maximum contract values and, accordingly, revenue related to these contracts is recognized as if these contracts were fixed-price contracts.

Cost-Plus Award Fee. Certain cost-plus contracts provide for award fees or a penalty based on performance criteria in lieu of a fixed fee or fixed rate. Other contracts include a base fee component plus a performance-based award fee. In addition, we may share award fees with subcontractors. We record accruals for fee-sharing on a monthly basis as fees are earned. We generally recognize revenue to the extent of costs actually incurred plus a proportionate amount of the fee expected to be earned. We take the award fee or penalty on contracts into consideration when estimating revenue and profit rates, and we record revenue related to the award fees when there is sufficient
information to assess anticipated contract performance. On contracts that represent higher than normal risk or technical difficulty, we may defer all award fees until an award fee letter is received. Once an award letter is received, the estimated or accrued fees are adjusted to the actual award amount.

**Cost-Plus Incentive Fee.** Certain cost-plus contracts provide for incentive fees based on performance against contractual milestones. The amount of the incentive fees varies, depending on whether we achieve above, at, or below target results. We originally recognize revenue on these contracts based upon expected results. These estimates are revised when necessary based upon additional information that becomes available as the contract progresses.

Labor costs and subcontractor services are the principal components of our direct costs on cost-plus contracts, although some include materials and other direct costs. Some of these contracts include a provision that the total actual costs plus the fee will not exceed a guaranteed price negotiated with the client. Others include rate ceilings that limit reimbursability for general and administrative costs, overhead costs, and materials handling costs. Revenue recognition for these contracts is determined by taking into consideration such guaranteed price or rate ceilings. Revenue in excess of cost limitation or rate ceilings is recognized in accordance with the information concerning change orders and claims that is provided below.

**Other Contract Matters**

Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR), which are applicable to our federal government contracts and are partially incorporated in many local and state agency contracts, limit the recovery of certain specified indirect costs on contracts. Cost-plus contracts covered by FAR and certain state and local agencies also require an audit of actual costs and provide for upward or downward adjustments if actual recoverable costs differ from billed recoverable costs. Most of our federal government contracts are subject to termination at the discretion of the client. Contracts typically provide for reimbursement of costs incurred and payment of fees earned through the date of such termination.

These contracts are subject to audit by the government, primarily the Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA), which reviews our overhead rates, operating systems and cost proposals. During the course of its audits, the DCAA may disallow costs if it determines that we improperly accounted for such costs in a manner inconsistent with Cost Accounting Standards. The reserve for potential disallowed costs was $1.7 million and $3.1 million as of October 2, 2005 and October 3, 2004, respectively. Historically, we have not had any material cost disallowances by the DCAA as a result of audit. However, there can be no assurance that DCAA audits will not result in material cost disallowances in the future.

Change orders are modifications of an original contract that effectively change the provisions of the contract. Change orders typically result from changes in specifications or design, manner of performance, facilities, equipment, materials, sites, or period of completion of the work. Change orders occur when changes are experienced once contract performance is underway. Change orders are sometimes documented and the terms of such change orders agreed upon with the client before the work is performed. Sometimes circumstances require that work progress without client agreement before the work is performed. Costs related to change orders are recognized when they are incurred. Change orders are included in total estimated contract revenue when it is probable that the change order will result in a bona fide addition to contract value, can be reasonably estimated and realization is assured beyond a reasonable doubt.

Claims are amounts in excess of agreed contract price that we seek to collect from our clients or others for client-caused delays, errors in specifications and designs, contract terminations, change orders that are either in dispute or are unapproved as to both scope and price or other causes of unanticipated additional contract costs. Claims are included in total estimated contract revenue, only to the extent that contract costs related to the claim have been incurred, when it is probable that the claim will result in a bona fide addition to contract value and can be reliably estimated. No profit is recognized on claims until final settlement occurs. This can lead to a situation in which costs are recognized in one period and revenue is recognized when client agreement is obtained or claim resolution occurs, which can occur in subsequent periods.

**Allowance for Uncollectible Accounts Receivable**

We reduce our accounts receivable by an allowance for amounts that are considered uncollectible. We determine an estimated allowance for uncollectible amounts based on management’s evaluation of the contracts involved and the financial condition of our clients. We regularly evaluate the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts by taking into consideration factors such as:

- Type of client—government agency or commercial sector;
- Trends in actual and forecasted credit quality of the client, including delinquency and payment history;
- General economic and particular industry conditions that may affect a client’s ability to pay; and
- Contract performance and our change order/claim analysis.
We increased our allowance by approximately $21.5 million as of October 2, 2005, compared to October 3, 2004, due to contract concessions, client bankruptcy filings and our inability to collect on certain contract change orders for which work was performed and billed.

Insurance Matters, Litigation and Contingencies

In the normal course of business, we are subject to certain contractual guarantees and litigation. Generally, such guarantees relate to project schedules and performance. Most of the litigation involves us as a defendant in contractual disagreements, workers’ compensation, personal injury and other similar lawsuits. We maintain insurance coverage for various aspects of our business and operations. However, we have elected to retain a portion of losses that may occur through the use of various deductibles, limits and retentions under our insurance programs. This practice may subject us to some future liability for which we are only partially insured or are completely uninsured.

In accordance with SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, we record in our consolidated balance sheets amounts representing our estimated liability for claims, guarantees, costs and litigation. We utilize qualified actuaries and insurance professionals to assist in determining the level of reserves to establish for both claims that are known and have been asserted against us, as well as for claims that are believed to have been incurred based on actuarial analysis, but have not yet been reported to our claims administrators as of the balance sheet date. We include any adjustments to such insurance reserves in our consolidated results of operations.

Except as described below, we have not been affected by any litigation or other contingencies that have had, or are currently anticipated to have, a material impact on our results of operations or financial position. As additional information about current or future litigations or other contingencies becomes available, management will assess whether such information warrants the recording of additional expenses relating to those contingencies. Such additional expenses could potentially have a material impact on our results of operations and financial position.

We continue to be involved in the contract dispute with Horsehead Industries, Inc., doing business as Zinc Corporation of America (ZCA). In April 2002, a Washington County Court in Bartlesville, Oklahoma dismissed with prejudice our counter-claims relating to receivables due from ZCA and other costs. In December 2002, the Court rendered a judgment for $4.1 million and unquantified legal fees against us in this dispute. In February 2004, the Court quantified the previous award and ordered us to pay approximately $2.6 million in ZCA’s attorneys’ and consultants’ fees and expenses, together with post-judgment interest.

We have posted bonds and filed appeals with respect to the earlier judgments. On December 27, 2004, the Court of Civil Appeals of the State of Oklahoma rendered a decision relating to certain aspects of our appeals. In its decision, the Court vacated the $4.1 million verdict against us. In addition, the Court upheld the dismissal of our counter-claims. The Court has not yet ruled on the status of ZCA’s attorneys’ and consultants’ fees and expenses. Several legal alternatives remain available to both parties including appeals to the Oklahoma Supreme Court. On January 18, 2005, both we and ZCA filed petitions for rehearing with the Oklahoma Court of Civil Appeals. Although our legal counsel in these matters continues to believe that a favorable outcome is reasonably possible, final outcome of these matters cannot yet be accurately predicted. As a result, we continue to maintain the amounts recorded in our restated fiscal 2002 financial statements, consisting of $4.1 million in accrued liabilities relating to the original judgment, and a $2.6 million accrual for ZCA’s attorneys’ and consultants’ fees and expenses. Once the legal proceedings relating to ZCA are finally resolved, accruals will be adjusted appropriately.

Goodwill

On September 30, 2002, the beginning of fiscal 2003, we adopted SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (SFAS No.142), and no longer amortize goodwill. SFAS No. 142 requires an annual test of goodwill for impairment at each of our reporting units. Reporting units for purposes of this test are identical to our operating segments and consist of resource management, infrastructure and communications. The annual impairment test is a two-step process. As the first step, we estimate the fair value of the reporting unit and compare that amount to the sum of the carrying value of the reporting unit’s goodwill and other net assets. If the fair value of the reporting unit is determined to be less than the carrying value, a second step is performed to compare the current implied fair value of the goodwill to the current carrying value of the goodwill, and any resulting decrease is recorded as an impairment. We are required under SFAS No. 142 to assess, at least on an annual basis, potential goodwill impairment. As a result of this change in accounting, we recognized an impairment charge of $114.7 million in fiscal 2003.

Several events occurred during the quarter ended April 3, 2005 that led management to conclude that the goodwill in our infrastructure reporting unit was likely impaired. These events included significantly lower than expected operating results, a
substantial loss in the infrastructure segment and a downward adjustment in forecasted future operating income and cash flows. As required by SFAS No. 142, we performed a two-step interim impairment test to confirm and quantify the impairment. During step one, we determined that the goodwill recorded in our infrastructure reporting unit was impaired because the fair value of the reporting unit was less than the carrying value of the reporting unit’s net assets. The fair value of the reporting unit was estimated using the discounted cash flow method, guideline company method, and similar transactions method weighted at 70%, 15%, and 15%, respectively. In order to quantify the impairment, we performed step two by allocating the fair value of the infrastructure reporting unit to the reporting units’ individual assets and liabilities utilizing the purchase price allocation guidance of SFAS No. 141. The resulting implied value of the infrastructure reporting unit’s goodwill was $105.0 million less than the current carrying value of the goodwill. This difference was recorded as a non-cash impairment charge to reduce the goodwill in the infrastructure reporting unit to $69.6 million as of April 3, 2005. We have completed our required annual assessment of the recoverability of goodwill for fiscal 2005 as of July 1, 2005, which indicated no further impairment of goodwill.

Income Taxes

We account for certain income and expense items differently for financial reporting and income tax purposes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities, applying enacted statutory tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse.

Stock-Based Compensation

Our employee stock compensation plans are accounted for utilizing the intrinsic value method in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations. Under this method, no compensation expense is recognized as long as the exercise price equals or exceeds the market price of the underlying stock on the date of the grant.

RECENTLY ISSUED FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued a revision to SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (FAS 123R), that requires us to expense the value of employee stock options and similar awards. Under FAS 123R, shared-based payment (SBP) awards result in a cost that will be measured at fair value on the awards’ grant date, based on the estimated number of awards that are expected to vest. Compensation cost for awards that vest would not be reversed if the awards expire without being exercised.

We are allowed to select from two alternative transition methods, each of which has different reporting implications. Under the first method, the Modified Prospective Application, FAS 123R applies to new awards and modified awards after the effective date, and to any unvested awards as service is rendered on or after the effective date. Under the second method, the Modified Retrospective Application, FAS 123R can be applied to either all prior years for which SFAS No. 123 was effective or only to prior interim periods in the year of adoption. We plan to adopt FAS 123R using the Modified Prospective Application. For us, the effective date for FAS 123R is the first quarter of fiscal 2006, and FAS 123R will apply to all outstanding and unvested SBP awards at our adoption date. We have completed a preliminary evaluation of the effect of adoption of FAS 123R. Due to the fact that we use stock options as a form of compensation, the adoption of FAS 123R will have an impact of approximately $8 million to $11 million on our results of operations in fiscal 2006 based upon options outstanding as of October 2, 2005.

FINANCIAL MARKET RISKS

We currently utilize no material derivative financial instruments that expose us to significant market risk. We are exposed to interest rate risk under our Credit Agreement. Effective as of April 3, 2005, we may borrow on our Facility, at our option, at either (a) a base rate (the greater of the federal funds rate plus 0.50% per annum or the bank’s reference rate) plus a margin which ranges from 0.65% to 1.225% per annum, or (b) a eurodollar rate plus a margin which ranges from 1.65% to 2.25% per annum. In addition, we pay a facility fee on the total commitment.

Borrowings at the base rate have no designated term and may be repaid without penalty anytime prior to the Facility’s maturity date. Borrowings at a eurodollar rate have a term no less than 30 days and no greater than 90 days. Typically, at the end of such term, such borrowings may be rolled over at our discretion into either a borrowing at the base rate or a borrowing at a eurodollar rate with similar terms, not to exceed the maturity date of the Facility. The Facility matures on July 21, 2009, or earlier at our discretion, upon payment in full of loans and other obligations.

Our outstanding Senior Notes bear interest at a fixed rate. As of May 12, 2005, the Series A Notes bear interest at 8.28% per annum and are payable at $13.1 million per year through May 2011. As of May 12, 2005, the Series B Notes bear interest at 8.08% per annum and are payable at $3.6 million per year through May 2008. If interest rates increased by 1.0% per annum, the fair value of the Senior Notes could decrease by $2.4 million.
If interest rates decreased by 1.0% per annum, the fair value of the Senior Notes could increase by $2.5 million. The interest rates on the Senior Notes will return to the pre-amendment rates of 7.28% per annum for the Series A Notes and 7.08% per annum for the Series B Notes if we meet certain covenant compliance criteria for three consecutive fiscal quarters.

We are scheduled to repay $17.8 million of our outstanding indebtedness in the next twelve months, of which $16.7 million is for scheduled principal payments on the Senior Notes and $1.1 million is related to other debt. Assuming we do repay the remaining $1.1 million ratably during the next twelve months, our annual interest expense could increase or decrease by a negligible amount when our average interest rate increases or decreases by 1.0% per annum. However, there can be no assurance that we will, or will be able to, repay our debt in the prescribed manner. In addition, we could incur additional debt under the Facility to meet our operating needs or to finance future acquisitions.

**Management’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. As defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f), internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our principal executive and principal financial officer and effected by our Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of October 2, 2005 based on the criteria in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based upon this evaluation, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of October 2, 2005.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited our financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, has also audited our management’s assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting and the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of October 2, 2005 as stated in their report included in our 2005 Annual Report to Stockholders, which is incorporated herein by reference.
To the Stockholders of Tetra Tech, Inc.:

We have completed an integrated audit of Tetra Tech, Inc.’s 2005 consolidated financial statements and of its internal control over financial reporting as of October 2, 2005 and an audit of its 2004 consolidated financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Our opinions, based on our audits, are presented below.

Consolidated financial statements

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders’ equity and cash flows, present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of TetraTech, Inc. and its subsidiaries at October 2, 2005 and October 3, 2004, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit of financial statements includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Internal control over financial reporting

Also, in our opinion, management’s assessment, included in the accompanying Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of October 2, 2005 based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on those criteria. Furthermore, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of October 2, 2005, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the COSO. The Company’s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on management’s assessment and on the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We conducted our audit of internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. An audit of internal control over financial reporting includes obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management’s assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we consider necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Los Angeles, California

December 15, 2005
To the Stockholders of Tetra Tech, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statement of operations, stockholders’ equity, and cash flows of Tetra Tech, Inc. and subsidiaries (the “Company”) for the year ended September 28, 2003. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We have conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the results of operations and cash flows of the Company for the year ended September 28, 2003 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company changed its method of accounting for goodwill and other intangible assets effective September 30, 2002 to conform to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets.

As discussed in Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, the accompanying 2003 financial statements have been restated.

Deloitte & Touche LLP
Los Angeles, California

December 12, 2003 (December 30, 2004 as to the effects of the restatement discussed in Note 17 and December 15, 2005 as to the reclassification of discontinued operations discussed in Note 2)
## Consolidated Balance Sheets

(in thousands, except par value)

### Assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current assets:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>$ 26,861</td>
<td>$ 48,032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable—net</td>
<td>315,364</td>
<td>357,181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid expenses and other current assets</td>
<td>21,139</td>
<td>22,133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax receivable</td>
<td>14,141</td>
<td>6,774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current assets of discontinued operations</td>
<td>13,350</td>
<td>18,547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total current assets</strong></td>
<td>$390,855</td>
<td>$452,667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Property and equipment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment, furniture and fixtures</td>
<td>73,260</td>
<td>79,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leasehold improvements</td>
<td>9,021</td>
<td>9,266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>82,281</td>
<td>89,166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated depreciation and amortization</td>
<td>(50,498)</td>
<td>(51,374)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Property and equipment—net</strong></td>
<td>31,783</td>
<td>37,792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deferred income taxes</strong></td>
<td>8,888</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income taxes receivable</strong></td>
<td>33,800</td>
<td>33,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodwill</td>
<td>159,175</td>
<td>254,553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intangible and other assets—net</td>
<td>18,832</td>
<td>25,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-current assets of discontinued operations</td>
<td>4,710</td>
<td>4,295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total assets</strong></td>
<td>$648,043</td>
<td>$808,507</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Liabilities and Stockholders’ Equity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current liabilities:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>$ 91,750</td>
<td>$103,510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued compensation</td>
<td>51,306</td>
<td>54,812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billings in excess of costs on uncompleted contracts</td>
<td>48,610</td>
<td>28,941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred income taxes</td>
<td>5,125</td>
<td>4,892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current portion of long-term obligations</td>
<td>17,800</td>
<td>58,868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other current liabilities</td>
<td>47,391</td>
<td>47,434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current liabilities of discontinued operations</td>
<td>7,260</td>
<td>9,381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total current liabilities</strong></td>
<td>269,242</td>
<td>307,838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred income taxes</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>10,823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term obligations</td>
<td>74,138</td>
<td>92,062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-current liabilities of discontinued operations</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commitments and contingencies (Notes 11 and 14)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockholders’ equity:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferred stock—authorized, 2,000 shares of $0.01 par value; no shares issued and outstanding as of October 2, 2005 and October 3, 2004</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchangeable stock of subsidiary—authorized, 920 shares of $0.01 par value; 0 and 85 shares issued and outstanding as of October 2, 2005 and October 3, 2004, respectively</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1,426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common stock—authorized 85,000 shares of $0.01 par value; issued and outstanding, 57,048 and 56,305 shares as of October 2, 2005 and October 3, 2004, respectively</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional paid-in capital</td>
<td>251,112</td>
<td>243,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated other comprehensive income</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained earnings</td>
<td>52,177</td>
<td>151,646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total stockholders’ equity</strong></td>
<td>$304,616</td>
<td>$397,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total liabilities and stockholders’ equity</strong></td>
<td>$648,043</td>
<td>$808,507</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
### Consolidated Statements of Operations

|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|

#### (in thousands, except per share data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>October 2, 2005</th>
<th>October 3, 2004</th>
<th>September 28, 2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>$1,286,031</td>
<td>$1,376,159</td>
<td>$1,086,986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcontractor costs</td>
<td>386,852</td>
<td>400,950</td>
<td>262,404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue, net of subcontractor costs</td>
<td>899,179</td>
<td>975,209</td>
<td>824,582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other contract costs</td>
<td>784,588</td>
<td>823,950</td>
<td>652,558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit</td>
<td>114,591</td>
<td>151,259</td>
<td>172,024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selling, general and administrative expenses</td>
<td>122,646</td>
<td>101,612</td>
<td>84,752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets</td>
<td>105,612</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from operations</td>
<td>$(113,667)</td>
<td>49,647</td>
<td>87,272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest income</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest expense</td>
<td>11,898</td>
<td>10,019</td>
<td>10,162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (benefit)</td>
<td>$(124,830)</td>
<td>39,972</td>
<td>77,998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax expense (benefit)</td>
<td>(26,152)</td>
<td>16,503</td>
<td>31,388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from continuing operations</td>
<td>$(98,678)</td>
<td>23,469</td>
<td>46,610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax</td>
<td>(791)</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>2,749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) before cumulative effect of accounting change</td>
<td>$(99,469)</td>
<td>23,742</td>
<td>49,359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative effect of accounting change</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(114,669)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income (loss)</td>
<td>$(99,469)</td>
<td>23,742</td>
<td>$(65,310)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Basic earnings (loss) per share:

- Income (loss) from continuing operations | $(1.74) | 0.42 | 0.85 |
- Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | $(0.01) | — | 0.05 |
- Cumulative effect of accounting change | — | — | (2.09) |

#### Net income (loss) | $(1.75) | 0.42 | $(1.19) |

#### Diluted earnings (loss) per share:

- Income (loss) from continuing operations | $(1.74) | 0.41 | 0.83 |
- Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | $(0.01) | — | 0.05 |
- Cumulative effect of accounting change | — | — | (2.05) |

#### Net income (loss) | $(1.75) | 0.41 | $(1.17) |

#### Weighted average common shares outstanding:

- Basic | 56,736 | 55,969 | 54,766 |
- Diluted | 56,736 | 57,288 | 55,782 |

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
# Consolidated Statements of Stockholders’ Equity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(in thousands)</th>
<th>Exchangeable Stock</th>
<th>Common Stock</th>
<th>Additional Paid-In</th>
<th>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)</th>
<th>Retained Earnings</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shares</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Shares</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Capital</td>
<td>Income (Loss)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance as of September 29, 2002</strong></td>
<td>791</td>
<td>$13,239</td>
<td>53,273</td>
<td>$533</td>
<td>$207,505</td>
<td>$(1,784)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comprehensive loss:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net loss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign currency translation adjustment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comprehensive loss</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock options exercised</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4,941</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares issued by Employee Stock Purchase Plan</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2,987</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax benefit for stock options</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance as of September 28, 2003</strong></td>
<td>791</td>
<td>$13,239</td>
<td>54,089</td>
<td>$541</td>
<td>$216,908</td>
<td>$(387)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comprehensive income:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign currency translation adjustment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comprehensive income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock options exercised</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8,857</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares issued by Employee Stock Purchase Plan</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3,237</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conversion of exchangeable stock</td>
<td>(706)</td>
<td>(11,813)</td>
<td>1,102</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11,802</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax benefit for stock options</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance as of October 3, 2004</strong></td>
<td>85</td>
<td>$1,426</td>
<td>56,305</td>
<td>$563</td>
<td>$243,490</td>
<td>$375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comprehensive loss:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net loss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign currency translation adjustment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comprehensive loss</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock options exercised</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2,714</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares issued by Employee Stock Purchase Plan</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3,144</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conversion of exchangeable stock</td>
<td>(85)</td>
<td>(1,426)</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,425</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax benefit for stock options</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance as of October 2, 2005</strong></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$—</td>
<td>57,048</td>
<td>$570</td>
<td>$251,112</td>
<td>$757</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
## Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Fiscal Year Ended

October 2, 2005  
October 3, 2004  
September 28, 2003  

(Thousands)

### Cash Flows from Operating Activities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net income (loss)</td>
<td>$(99,469)</td>
<td>$23,742</td>
<td>$(65,310)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative effect of accounting change</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>114,669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation and amortization</td>
<td>16,321</td>
<td>18,500</td>
<td>16,727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred income taxes</td>
<td>(19,542)</td>
<td>(11,932)</td>
<td>10,236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision for losses on contracts and related receivables</td>
<td>33,411</td>
<td>14,786</td>
<td>8,411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impairment of goodwill and other assets</td>
<td>108,112</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain on sale of discontinued operations</td>
<td>(930)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on disposal of property and equipment</td>
<td>1,393</td>
<td>1,426</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable</td>
<td>9,940</td>
<td>(52,185)</td>
<td>(26,959)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid expenses and other assets</td>
<td>4,686</td>
<td>(6,521)</td>
<td>(2,512)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>(12,695)</td>
<td>8,247</td>
<td>1,892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued compensation</td>
<td>(3,311)</td>
<td>7,480</td>
<td>6,438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billings in excess of costs on uncompleted contracts</td>
<td>19,730</td>
<td>11,251</td>
<td>911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other current liabilities</td>
<td>(1,725)</td>
<td>16,137</td>
<td>4,142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income taxes receivable/payable</td>
<td>(7,406)</td>
<td>(14,731)</td>
<td>(977)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net cash provided by operating activities</strong></td>
<td>48,495</td>
<td>16,200</td>
<td>67,766</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Cash Flows from Investing Activities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital expenditures</td>
<td>(9,791)</td>
<td>(17,892)</td>
<td>(9,419)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments for business acquisitions, net</td>
<td>(8,374)</td>
<td>(28,853)</td>
<td>(87,233)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from sale of discontinued operations</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds on sale of property and equipment</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>2,046</td>
<td>1,456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net cash used in investing activities</strong></td>
<td>(16,685)</td>
<td>(44,699)</td>
<td>(95,196)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Cash Flows from Financing Activities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Payments on long-term obligations</td>
<td>(119,091)</td>
<td>(106,695)</td>
<td>(90,935)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from borrowings under long-term obligations</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>137,756</td>
<td>97,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net proceeds from issuance of common stock</td>
<td>5,863</td>
<td>12,105</td>
<td>7,892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities</strong></td>
<td>(53,228)</td>
<td>43,166</td>
<td>13,957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of exchange rate changes on cash</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>(21,171)</td>
<td>14,868</td>
<td>(13,181)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year</td>
<td>48,032</td>
<td>33,164</td>
<td>46,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash and cash equivalents at end of year</strong></td>
<td>$26,861</td>
<td>$48,032</td>
<td>$33,164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Supplemental cash flow information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash paid (received) during the year for:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>$10,974</td>
<td>$9,813</td>
<td>$10,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income taxes, net of refunds received</td>
<td>$(401)</td>
<td>$43,138</td>
<td>$23,165</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Fiscal Year Ended
(in thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>October 2, 2005</th>
<th>October 3, 2004</th>
<th>September 28, 2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Supplemental Non-Cash Investing and Financing Activities:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See Note 4 for non-cash activities relating to business acquisitions in fiscal 2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In fiscal 2003, the Company’s subsidiary, Tetra Tech EC, Inc. formerly known as Tetra Tech FW, Inc., purchased certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation and Hartman Consulting Corporation. The Company also purchased all of the capital stock of Engineering Management Concepts, Inc. In conjunction with these acquisitions, liabilities were assumed as follows:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair value of assets acquired</td>
<td>$135,624</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash paid</td>
<td>(90,072)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase price receivable</td>
<td>3,466</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other acquisition costs</td>
<td>(955)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liabilities assumed</strong></td>
<td><strong>$48,063</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

1. Significant Accounting Policies

Business—Tetra Tech, Inc. (the “Company”) is a provider of consulting, engineering and technical services. Through fiscal 2005, the Company supported its government and commercial clients in the areas of resource management, infrastructure and communications. The Company’s services include research and development, applied science and technology, engineering design, program management, construction, construction management, and operations and maintenance.

Principles of Consolidation and Presentation—The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005, the Company sold an operating unit in its communications segment and held for sale two operating units in the communications and resource management segments. Accordingly, the Company’s previously reported consolidated balance sheet (fiscal 2004) and statements of operations (fiscal 2004 and 2003) have been restated to present the discontinued operations separate from continuing operations. See Note 2 for further information. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

Fiscal Year—The Company reports results of operations based on 52 or 53-week periods ending near September 30. Fiscal years 2005, 2004 and 2003 contained 52, 53 and 52 weeks, respectively.

Contract Revenue and Costs—In the course of providing its services, the Company routinely subcontracts for services. These costs are passed through to clients and, in accordance with industry practice and generally accepted accounting principles, are included in the Company’s revenue. Because subcontractor services can change significantly from project to project, changes in revenue may not be indicative of business trends. Accordingly, the Company also reports revenue, net of subcontractor costs.

The Company accounts for most of its contracts on the percentage-of-completion method, under which revenue is recognized as costs are incurred. Under this method for revenue recognition, the Company estimates the progress towards completion to determine the amount of revenue and profit to recognize on all significant contracts. The Company generally utilizes a cost-to-cost approach in applying the percentage-of-completion method, under which revenue is earned in proportion to total costs incurred, divided by total costs expected to be incurred.

Under the percentage-of-completion method, recognition of profit is dependent upon the accuracy of a variety of estimates, including engineering progress, materials quantities, achievement of milestones and other incentives, penalty provisions, labor productivity and cost estimates. Such estimates are based on various judgments the Company makes with respect to those factors and are difficult to accurately determine until the project is significantly underway. Due to uncertainties inherent in the estimation progress, it is possible that actual completion costs may vary from estimates. If estimated total costs on any contract indicate a loss, the Company charges the entire estimated loss to operations in the period the loss first becomes known.

The Company enters into three major types of contracts: “fixed-price,” “time-and-materials” and “cost-plus” as described below.

Fixed-Price Contracts

Firm Fixed-Price (FFP). The Company’s FFP contracts have historically accounted for most of its fixed-price contracts. Under FFP contracts, clients pay the Company an agreed amount negotiated in advance for a specified scope of work. The Company recognizes revenue on FFP contracts using the percentage-of-completion method described above. Prior to completion, recognized profit margins on any FFP contract depend on the accuracy of the Company’s estimates and will increase to the extent that its actual costs are below the estimated amounts. Conversely, if the Company’s costs exceed these estimates, its profit margins will decrease and the Company may realize a loss on a project. If the Company’s actual costs exceed the original estimate, the Company must obtain a change order or contract modification, or successfully prevail in a claim, in order to receive payment for the additional costs.

Fixed-Price Per Unit (FPPU). Under the Company’s FPPU contracts, clients pay a set fee for each service or unit of production. The Company is generally guaranteed a minimum number of service or production units at a fixed price. The Company recognizes revenue under FPPU contracts as it completes the related service transaction for its clients. If the Company’s costs per service transaction exceed its original estimates, the Company’s profit margins will decrease and it may realize a loss on the project unless it can obtain a change order or contract modification, or successfully prevail in a claim, in order to receive payment for the additional costs. Certain of the Company’s FPPU contracts may be subject to maximum contract values and, accordingly, revenue related to these contracts is recognized as if the contracts were firm fixed-price contracts.

Time-and-Materials Contracts

Under the Company’s time-and-materials contracts, the Company negotiates hourly billing rates and charges its clients based on the actual time that it expends on a project. In addition, clients reimburse the Company for its actual out-of-pocket costs of materials and other direct incidental expenditures that it incurs in connection with its performance
under the contract. The Company’s profit margins on time-and-materials contracts fluctuate based on actual labor and overhead costs that it directly charges or allocates to contracts compared to negotiated billing rates. Many of the Company’s time-and-materials contracts are subject to maximum contract values and, accordingly, revenue related to these contracts is recognized as if these contracts were fixed-price contracts. Revenue on contracts that is not subject to maximum contract values is recognized based on the actual number of hours the Company spends on the projects plus any actual out-of-pocket costs of materials and other direct incidental expenditures that it incurs on the projects. The Company’s time-and-materials contracts also generally include annual billing rate adjustment provisions.

**Cost-Plus Contracts**

**Cost-Plus Fixed Fee.** Under cost-plus fixed fee contracts, the Company charges clients for its costs, including both direct and indirect costs, plus a fixed negotiated fee. In negotiating a cost-plus fixed fee contract, the Company estimates all recoverable direct and indirect costs and then adds a fixed profit component. The total estimated cost plus the negotiated fee represents the total contract value. The Company recognizes revenue based on the actual labor costs, plus non-labor costs it incurs, plus the portion of the fixed fee it has earned to date. The Company invoices for its services as revenue is recognized or in accordance with agreed-upon billing schedules. If the actual costs are lower than the total costs the Company has estimated, its revenue related to cost recoveries from the project will be lower than originally estimated. If the actual costs exceed the original estimate, the Company must obtain a change order or contract modification, or successfully prevail in a claim, in order to receive additional fee related to the additional costs. Certain of the Company’s cost-plus contracts may be subject to maximum contract values and, accordingly, revenue relating to these contracts is recognized as if these contracts were fixed-price contracts.

**Cost-Plus Fixed Rate.** Under the Company’s cost-plus fixed rate contracts, the Company charges clients for its direct and indirect costs plus negotiated rates. In negotiating a cost-plus fixed rate contract, the Company estimates all recoverable direct and indirect costs and then adds a profit component, which is a percentage of total recoverable costs, to arrive at a total dollar estimate for the project. The Company recognizes revenue based on the actual total costs it has expended plus the applicable fixed fee. If the actual total costs are lower than the total costs the Company has estimated, its revenue from that project will be lower than originally estimated. Certain of the Company’s cost-plus contracts may be subject to maximum contract values and, accordingly, revenue related to these contracts is recognized as if these contracts were fixed-price contracts.

**Cost-Plus Award Fee.** Certain cost-plus contracts provide for award fees or a penalty based on performance criteria in lieu of a fixed fee or fixed rate. Other contracts include a base fee component plus a performance-based award fee. In addition, the Company may share award fees with subcontractors. The Company records accruals for fee-sharing on a monthly basis as fees are earned. The Company generally recognizes revenue to the extent of costs actually incurred plus a proportionate amount of the fee expected to be earned. The Company takes the award fee or penalty on contracts into consideration when estimating revenue and profit rates, and it records revenue related to the award fees when there is sufficient information to assess anticipated contract performance. On contracts that represent higher than normal risk or technical difficulty, the Company may defer all award fees until an award fee letter is received. Once an award letter is received, the estimated or accrued fees are adjusted to the actual award amount.

**Cost-Plus Incentive Fee.** Certain cost-plus contracts provide for incentive fees based on performance against contractual milestones. The amount of the incentive fees varies, depending on whether the Company achieves above, at, or below target results. The Company originally recognizes revenue on these contracts based upon expected results. These estimates are revised when necessary based upon additional information that becomes available as the contract progresses.

Labor costs and subcontractor services are the principal components of the Company’s direct costs on cost-plus contracts, although some include materials and other direct costs. Some of these contracts include a provision that the total actual costs plus the fee will not exceed a guaranteed price negotiated with the client. Others include rate ceilings that limit reimbursability for general and administrative costs, overhead costs, and materials handling costs. Revenue recognition for these contracts is determined by taking into consideration such guaranteed price or rate ceilings. Revenue in excess of cost limitation or rate ceilings is recognized in accordance with the information concerning change orders and claims that is provided below.

**Other Contract Matters**

Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR), which are applicable to the Company’s federal government contracts and are partially incorporated in many local and state agency contracts, limit the recovery of certain specified indirect costs on contracts. Cost-plus contracts covered by FAR and certain state and local agencies also require an audit of actual costs and provide for upward or downward adjustments if actual recoverable costs differ from billed recoverable costs. Most of the Company’s federal government contracts are subject to termination at the discretion of the client. Contracts typically provide for reimbursement of costs incurred and payment of fees earned through the date of such termination.
These contracts are subject to audit by the government, primarily the Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA), which reviews the Company’s overhead rates, operating systems and cost proposals. During the course of its audits, the DCAA may disallow costs if it determines that the Company improperly accounted for such costs in a manner inconsistent with Cost Accounting Standards. Historically, the Company has not had any material cost disallowances by the DCAA as a result of audit. However, there can be no assurance that DCAA audits will not result in material cost disallowances in the future.

Change orders are modifications of an original contract that effectively change the provisions of the contract. Change orders typically result from changes in specifications or design, manner of performance, facilities, equipment, materials, sites, or period of completion of the work. Change orders occur when changes are experienced once contract performance is underway. Change orders are sometimes documented and the terms of such change orders agreed upon with the client before the work is performed. Sometimes circumstances require that work progress without client agreement before the work is performed. Costs related to change orders are recognized when they are incurred. Change orders are included in total estimated contract revenue when it is probable that the change order will result in a bona fide addition to contract value and can be reasonably estimated.

Claims are amounts in excess of agreed contract price that the Company seeks to collect from its clients or others for client-caused delays, errors in specifications and designs, contract terminations, change orders that are either in dispute or are unapproved as to both scope and price, or other causes of unanticipated additional contract costs. Claims are included in total estimated contract revenue, only to the extent that contract costs related to the claim have been incurred, when it is probable that the claim will result in a bona fide addition to contract value and can be reliably estimated. No profit is recognized on claims until final settlement occurs.

Allowance for Uncollectible Accounts Receivable—The Company reduces its accounts receivable by an allowance for amounts that are considered uncollectible. The Company determines an estimated allowance for uncollectible amounts based on management’s evaluation of the contracts involved and the financial condition of its clients. The Company regularly evaluates the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts by taking into consideration factors such as:

- Type of client—government agency or commercial sector;
- Trends in actual and forecasted credit quality of the client, including delinquency and payment history;
- General economic and particular industry conditions that may affect a client’s ability to pay; and
- Contract performance and the Company’s change order/claim analysis.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses—Selling, general and administrative expenses are expensed in the period incurred.

Cash and Cash Equivalents—Cash equivalents include all investments with initial maturities of 90 days or less.

Property and Equipment—Property and equipment are recorded at cost and are depreciated over their estimated useful lives using the straight-line method. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred. Generally, estimated useful lives range from three to ten years for equipment, furniture and fixtures. Leasehold improvements are amortized on a straight-line basis over the shorter of their estimated useful lives or the remaining terms of the leases.

Long-Lived Assets—The Company’s policy regarding long-lived assets is to evaluate the recoverability of its assets when the facts and circumstances suggest that the assets may be impaired. This assessment of fair value is performed based on the estimated undiscounted cash flows compared to the carrying value of the assets. If the future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) are less than the carrying value, a write-down would be recorded to reduce the related asset to its estimated fair value.

Goodwill and Intangibles—Goodwill consists of amounts paid for new business acquisitions in excess of the fair value of net assets acquired. Following an acquisition, the Company performs an analysis to value the acquired company’s tangible and identifiable intangible assets and liabilities. With respect to identifiable intangible assets, the Company considers backlog, non-compete agreements, customer lists, patents and other assets.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, requires an annual test of good- will for impairment at each reporting unit of the Company. The Company performs its required annual assessment of goodwill annually on July 1. Reporting units for purposes of this test are identical to the Company’s operating segments and consist of resource management, infrastructure and communications. The annual impairment test is a two-step process. As the first step, the Company estimates the fair value of the reporting unit and compares that amount to the sum of the carrying value of the reporting unit’s goodwill and other net assets. If the fair value of the reporting unit is determined to be less than the carrying value, a second step is performed to compare the current implied fair value of the goodwill to the current carrying value of the goodwill and any resulting decrease is recorded as an impairment.

Income Taxes—The Company files a consolidated federal income tax return and combined California franchise tax return. In addition, the Company files other returns that are required in the states and jurisdictions in which it does business, which includes the Company and its subsidiaries. The Company accounts for
certain income and expense items differently for financial reporting and income tax purposes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities, applying enacted statutory tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. In determining the need for a valuation allowance, management reviews both positive and negative evidence, including current and historical results of operations, future income projections, and potential tax planning strategies.

**Earnings Per Share**—Basic earnings per share (EPS) excludes dilution and is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares and the weighted average number of shares of exchangeable stock of a subsidiary (exchangeable shares) outstanding for the period. The exchangeable shares were non-voting and were exchangeable on a one-to-one basis, as adjusted for stock splits and stock dividends subsequent to the original issuance, for the Company’s common stock. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, the weighted average number of exchangeable shares, and dilutive potential common shares for the period. The Company includes as potential common shares the weighted average dilutive effects of outstanding stock options using the treasury stock method.

**Fair Value of Financial Instruments**—The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate fair value because of the short maturities of these instruments. The carrying amount of the revolving credit facility approximates fair value because the interest rates are based upon variable reference rates. The fair value of the senior secured notes as of October 2, 2005 and October 3, 2004 was approximately $93 million and $112 million, respectively.

**Concentration of Credit Risk**—Financial instruments, which subject the Company to credit risk, consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents and net accounts receivable. The Company places its temporary cash investments with high credit quality financial institutions and, by policy, limits the amount of investment exposure to any one financial institution. As of October 2, 2005 and October 3, 2004, approximately 32% and 23%, respectively, of accounts receivable was due from various agencies of the federal government. The remaining accounts receivable are generally diversified due to the large number of organizations comprising the Company’s client base and their geographic dispersion. The company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its clients and maintains an allowance for potential credit losses.

**Use of Estimates**—The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

**Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements**—In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued a revision to SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (FAS 123R), that requires the Company to expense the value of employee stock options and similar awards. Under FAS 123R, share-based payment (SBP) awards result in a cost that will be measured at fair value on the awards’ grant date, based on the estimated number of awards that are expected to vest. Compensation cost for awards that vest would not be reversed if the awards expire without being exercised. The Company is allowed to select from two alternative transition methods, each of which has different reporting implications. Under the first method, the Modified Prospective Application, FAS 123R applies to new awards and modified awards after the effective date, and to any unvested awards as service is rendered on or after the effective date. Under the second method, the Modified Retrospective Application, FAS 123R can be applied to either all prior years for which SFAS No. 123 was effective or only to prior interim periods in the year of adoption. The Company plans to adopt FAS 123R using the Modified Prospective Application. For the Company, the effective date for FAS 123R is the first quarter of fiscal 2006, and FAS 123R will apply to all outstanding and unvested SBP awards at the Company’s adoption date. The Company has completed a preliminary evaluation of the effect of adoption of FAS 123R. Due to the fact that the Company uses stock options as a form of compensation, the adoption of FAS 123R will have an impact of approximately $8 million to $11 million on the Company’s results of operations in fiscal 2006 based upon options outstanding as of October 2, 2005.

**Stock-Based Compensation**—The Company’s employee stock compensation plans are accounted for utilizing the intrinsic value method in accordance with Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations. Under this method, no compensation expense is recognized as long as the exercise price equals or exceeds the market price of the underlying stock on the date of the grant. On September 6, 2005, the Company’s Board of Directors approved accelerating the vesting of certain outstanding, unvested stock options awarded to employees under the Company’s stock option plans, other than its 2003 Outside Director Stock Option Plan, with exercise prices greater than $16.95, the closing price of the Company’s common stock on September 6, 2005. As a result of this vesting acceleration, options to purchase approximately 1.6 million shares of the Company’s common stock became exercisable immediately. Since options were accelerated...
to vest in the fiscal year ended October 2, 2005, an additional pre-tax compensation cost of $7.4 million, which represented the unamortized cost of accelerated unvested options, was included in calculating the pro forma net loss for footnote disclosure under SFAS No. 123 for the fiscal year ended October 2, 2005. As the exercise price of all modified options was greater than the market price of the Company’s underlying common stock on the date of their modification, no compensation expense was recorded in accordance with APB 25.

The decision to accelerate vesting of these options was made primarily to eliminate the accounting charge in connection with future compensation expense the Company would otherwise recognize in its statement of operations with respect to these accelerated options upon the adoption of FAS 123R. It requires the Company to expense the value of employee stock options and similar awards in its statement of operations, rather than as a footnote disclosure in its consolidated financial statements.

The following pro forma information regarding net income has been calculated as if the Company had accounted for its employee stock options and stock purchase plan using the fair value method under SFAS No. 123:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net income (loss) as reported</td>
<td>$ (99,469)</td>
<td>$ 23,742</td>
<td>$(65,310)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deduct: Stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value method for all awards, net of related tax effects</td>
<td>10,181</td>
<td>6,028</td>
<td>4,639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro forma net income (loss)</td>
<td>$ (109,650)</td>
<td>$ 17,714</td>
<td>$(69,949)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Earnings (loss) per share:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basic–as reported</td>
<td>$ (1.75)</td>
<td>$ 0.42</td>
<td>$(1.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic–pro forma</td>
<td>$ (1.93)</td>
<td>$ 0.32</td>
<td>$(1.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diluted–as reported</td>
<td>$ (1.75)</td>
<td>$ 0.41</td>
<td>$(1.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diluted–pro forma</td>
<td>$ (1.93)</td>
<td>$ 0.31</td>
<td>$(1.25)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Because most options vest over several years and additional option grants are expected to be made subsequent to October 2, 2005, the results of applying the fair value method may have a materially different effect on pro forma net income (loss) in future years.

The fair value of the Company’s stock options used to compute pro forma net income (loss) and pro forma earnings (loss) per share disclosures is the estimated value using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The weighted average fair values per share of options granted in fiscal 2005, 2004 and 2003 are $7.73, $12.47 and $6.31, respectively. The following assumptions were used in completing the model:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>October 2, 2005</th>
<th>October 3, 2004</th>
<th>September 28, 2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dividend yield</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected volatility</td>
<td>52.8%</td>
<td>59.9%</td>
<td>61.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk-free rate of return, annual</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected life</td>
<td>4.72 years</td>
<td>4.58 years</td>
<td>4.36 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

On October 1, 2005, the Company completed the sale of eXpert Wireless Solutions, Inc. (EWS), an operating unit in the communications segment. Accordingly, the results for this operating unit were accounted for as discontinued operations in the consolidated financial statements for all fiscal years presented herein.

In addition, the Company is in the final stages of negotiations to sell two other operating units, Tetra Tech Canada Ltd. (TTC), a unit in the communications segment, and Vertex Engineering Services, Inc., a unit in the resource management segment. The results for these two operating units have been accounted for as discontinued operations (held for sale) in the consolidated financial statements presented herein as management implemented a plan to divest these operations in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005. The Company expects to complete the divestitures of both units in the first half of fiscal 2006.

The summarized, combined statements of operations for the three discontinued operations are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>October 2, 2005</th>
<th>October 3, 2004</th>
<th>September 28, 2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>$ 67,984</td>
<td>$ 61,398</td>
<td>$ 43,681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) before income tax expense</td>
<td>(2,726)</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>4,635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax expense</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>1,886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from operations, net of tax</td>
<td>(3,178)</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>2,749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain on sale of discontinued operations</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax benefit on sale</td>
<td>(1,457)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax</td>
<td>$ (791)</td>
<td>$ 273</td>
<td>$ 2,749</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The current assets of discontinued operations include net accounts receivable of $13.4 million and $18.5 million as of October 2, 2005 and October 3, 2004, respectively. All other financial statement accounts are individually immaterial.

3. Goodwill and Intangibles

Effective September 30, 2002, the beginning of fiscal 2003, the Company adopted SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. This statement changed the accounting method for goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets from an amortization approach to an impairment-only approach. As a result of the adoption of SFAS No. 142, the Company recorded a transitional goodwill impairment charge of $114.7 million presented as a cumulative effect of accounting change in the second quarter of fiscal 2003 and reclassified into the first quarter of fiscal 2003 for the current year presentation. This charge related to the Company's communications reportable segment.

Several events occurred during the quarter ended April 3, 2005 that led management to conclude that the goodwill in the Company's infrastructure reporting unit was likely impaired. These events included significantly lower than expected operating results, a substantial loss in the infrastructure segment and a downward adjustment in forecasted future operating income and cash flows. As required by SFAS No. 142, the Company performed a two-step interim impairment test to confirm and quantify the impairment. During step one, the Company determined that the goodwill recorded in its infrastructure reporting unit was impaired because the fair value of the reporting unit was less than the carrying value of the reporting unit's net assets. The fair value of the reporting unit was estimated using the discounted cash flow method, guideline company method, and similar transactions method weighted at 70%, 15%, and 15%, respectively. In order to quantify the impairment, the Company performed step two by allocating the fair value of the infrastructure reporting unit to the reporting unit's individual assets and liabilities utilizing the purchase price allocation guidance of SFAS No. 141. The resulting implied value of the infrastructure reporting unit's goodwill was $105.0 million less than the current carrying value of the goodwill. This difference was recorded as a non-cash impairment charge to reduce the goodwill in the infrastructure reporting unit.

In fiscal 2005, the Company also recognized post-acquisition adjustments to goodwill in the total amount of $9.6 million related primarily to purchase price adjustments for the acquisition of Advanced Management Technology, Inc. (AMT). See Note 4 for additional information. The changes in the carrying value of goodwill by segment for the fiscal years ended October 2, 2005 and October 3, 2004 were as follows:

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Unit</th>
<th>October 3, 2004 Post-Acquisition Adjustments</th>
<th>Impairment</th>
<th>October 3, 2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resource</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>management</td>
<td>$86,011</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$86,011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>168,542</td>
<td>9,622</td>
<td>(105,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$254,553</td>
<td>$9,622</td>
<td>(105,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$159,175</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

Due to the significant operating loss in the quarter ended April 3, 2005 combined with a projection of lower future earnings in the Company’s infrastructure segment, identifiable intangible assets related to that segment’s acquired backlog were written off in the net amount of $0.6 million as required by SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets. The gross amounts and accumulated amortization of the Company’s acquired identifiable intangible assets with finite useful lives as of October 2, 2005, after the adjustment, and October 3, 2004, included in intangible and other assets—net in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, were as follows:

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifiable Intangible Assets</th>
<th>October 2, 2005</th>
<th>October 3, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gross Amount</td>
<td>Accumulated Amortization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backlog</td>
<td>$8,900</td>
<td>$3,232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-compete agreements</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$8,900</td>
<td>$3,232</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

No identifiable intangible assets were acquired during fiscal 2005. Identifiable intangible assets acquired during the year ended October 3, 2004 consisted of AMT backlog of $0.7 million with an amortization period of one year. Amortization expense for acquired intangible assets with finite useful lives for the fiscal year ended October 2, 2005, October 3, 2004 and September 28, 2003 was $1.3 million, $2.4 million and $1.3 million, respectively. Estimated amortization expense, in thousands, for the succeeding five years is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amortization Expense</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$ 1,271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$ 1,271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$ 1,271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$ 1,271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$ 584</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The goodwill and other identifiable intangibles created in the acquisition of AMT are amortizable for tax purposes and were assigned to the infrastructure segment.

4. Mergers And Acquisitions

On March 7, 2003, the Company acquired through its wholly owned subsidiary, Tetra Tech EC, Inc. (ECI) formerly known as Tetra Tech FW, Inc., certain assets and certain related liabilities of Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation and Hartman Consulting Corporation, providers of engineering and program management services throughout the United States. The purchase was valued at approximately $68.1 million and consisted of cash. The following table summarizes the estimated fair values, in thousands, of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the date of acquisition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current assets</td>
<td>$ 53,816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property and equipment</td>
<td>6,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodwill</td>
<td>33,765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intangible and other</td>
<td>15,682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current liabilities</td>
<td>(41,548)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net assets acquired</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 68,115</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On July 31, 2003, the Company acquired 100% of the capital stock of Engineering Management Concepts, Inc. (EMC), an engineering and program management firm that provides information technology and weapons test range and systems logistic support services. The purchase was valued at $20.7 million, consisted of cash and is subject to a purchase price adjustment based upon certain contingent earn-out payments. The former shareholders of EMC have certain earn-out rights that would allow them to receive an aggregate maximum of $2.0 million upon EMC’s achievement of certain operating profit objectives over a two-year period from the acquisition date. As of October 2, 2005, EMC achieved the second earn-out and the Company recognized a $1.0 million payable to EMC’s former shareholders and a corresponding increase to goodwill.

On March 5, 2004, the Company acquired 100% of the capital stock of AMT, an engineering and program management firm that provides systems engineering, program management and information management services to federal government agencies. The purchase was valued at $39.3 million, consisted of cash and is subject to a purchase price adjustment based upon certain contingent earn-out rights. These rights would allow the former shareholders to receive an aggregate maximum of $5.0 million upon AMT’s achievement of certain operating profit objectives over a two-year period from the acquisition date. In December 2004, the Company and the former shareholders of AMT agreed to make an Internal Revenue Code Section 338(h)(10) election under which the stock purchase was treated as an asset purchase for tax purposes. In the first quarter of fiscal 2005, the Company paid $6.0 million of additional purchase price to the former shareholders to offset their increased tax liability caused by this election. This payment, along with the first year earn-out payment of $2.5 million and additional purchase accounting adjustments of $0.1 million, increased goodwill.

The table below presents summarized unaudited pro forma operating results assuming that the Company had acquired ECI, EMC and AMT at the beginning of the fiscal years presented:
Revenue $ 1,414,477 $ 1,362,158
Revenue, net of subcontractor costs 999,304 979,392
Income from continuing operations 24,108 55,582
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax 273 2,749
Income before cumulative effect of accounting change 24,381 58,331
Cumulative effect of accounting change — (114,669)
Net income (loss) 24,381 (56,339)

Earnings per share from continuing operations:
Basic $ 0.43 $ 1.01
Diluted $ 0.42 $ 1.00

Earnings per share from discontinued operations, net of tax:
Basic $ 0.01 $ 0.05
Diluted $ 0.01 $ 0.05

Cumulative effect of accounting change:
Basic $ — $ (2.09)
Diluted $ — $ (2.06)

Net income (loss):
Basic $ 0.44 $ (1.03)
Diluted $ 0.43 $ (1.01)

Weighted average shares outstanding:
Basic 55,969 54,766
Diluted 57,288 55,782

5. Accounts Receivable—Net

Net accounts receivable consisted of the following as of October 2, 2005 and October 3, 2004:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year Ended</th>
<th>October 2, 2005</th>
<th>October 3, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Billed</td>
<td>$ 211,064</td>
<td>$ 204,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unbilled</td>
<td>141,799</td>
<td>171,474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract retentions</td>
<td>7,908</td>
<td>5,426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total accounts receivable—gross</td>
<td>360,771</td>
<td>381,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allowance for doubtful accounts</td>
<td>(45,407)</td>
<td>(23,919)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total accounts receivable—net</td>
<td>$ 315,364</td>
<td>$ 357,181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billings in excess of costs on uncompleted contracts</td>
<td>$ 48,610</td>
<td>$ 28,941</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Billed receivables related to federal government contracts were $74.8 million and $59.6 million as of October 2, 2005 and October 3, 2004, respectively. Federal government unbilled receivables, net of progress payments, were $40.4 million and $24.6 million as of October 2, 2005 and October 3, 2004, respectively.

Other than the federal government, no single client accounted for more than 10% of the Company’s accounts receivable as of October 2, 2005. Nextel Operations, Inc. (Nextel) accounted for approximately 18.0% of the Company’s accounts receivable as of October 3, 2004. A substantial portion of this amount represented unbilled receivables. In the second quarter of fiscal 2005, the Company decided to exit the wireless business and negotiated a reduction in the Nextel project scope and contract.

6. Income Taxes

Income tax expense (benefit) for fiscal 2005, 2004 and 2003 consisted of the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current: Federal</td>
<td>$ (7,504)</td>
<td>$ 22,820</td>
<td>$ 18,249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>(369)</td>
<td>5,210</td>
<td>2,507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current income tax expense (benefit)</td>
<td>(7,873)</td>
<td>28,030</td>
<td>20,756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred: Federal</td>
<td>(14,511)</td>
<td>(9,384)</td>
<td>9,347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>(3,768)</td>
<td>(2,143)</td>
<td>1,285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current income tax expense (benefit)</td>
<td>(18,279)</td>
<td>(11,527)</td>
<td>10,632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total income tax expense (benefit)</td>
<td>$ (26,152)</td>
<td>$ 16,503</td>
<td>$ 31,388</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Company’s effective tax rate and deferred tax accounts have been significantly impacted by the goodwill impairment recognized in the quarter ended April 3, 2005 (see Note 3). The Company’s effective tax rate decreased substantially because a majority of the goodwill impairment is not deductible for tax purposes. The deductible portion of the goodwill impairment will reduce taxable income in future periods as the goodwill is amortized for tax purposes over the statutory period of 15 years. The future estimated deductible portion of the goodwill impairment is reflected as a deferred tax asset.
Total income tax expense (benefit) was different from the amount computed by applying the federal statutory rate as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year Ended</th>
<th>October 2, 2005</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>October 3, 2004</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>September 28, 2003</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tax at federal statutory rate</td>
<td>$ (43,690)</td>
<td>35.0%</td>
<td>$ 13,990</td>
<td>35.0%</td>
<td>$ 27,299</td>
<td>35.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodwill (non deductible portion)</td>
<td>20,213</td>
<td>(16.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State taxes, net of federal benefit</td>
<td>(2,689)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total income tax (benefit) expense</td>
<td>$ (26,152)</td>
<td>21.0%</td>
<td>$ 16,503</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
<td>$ 31,388</td>
<td>40.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Temporary differences comprising the net deferred income tax asset (liability) shown on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year Ended</th>
<th>October 2, 2005</th>
<th>October 3, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deferred Tax Asset:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State taxes</td>
<td>$ 74</td>
<td>$ 418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserves and contingent liability</td>
<td>4,916</td>
<td>6,548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allowance for doubtful accounts</td>
<td>11,352</td>
<td>6,559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intangibles</td>
<td>9,947</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital loss carry-forward</td>
<td>7,670</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valuation allowance on capital loss carry-forward</td>
<td>(6,213)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total deferred tax asset</td>
<td>37,491</td>
<td>20,254</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deferred Tax Liability:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year Ended</th>
<th>October 2, 2005</th>
<th>October 3, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unbilled revenue</td>
<td>$(29,306)</td>
<td>$(23,407)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid expense</td>
<td>$(1,630)</td>
<td>$(1,218)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash to accrual</td>
<td>$(108)</td>
<td>$(520)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>$(2,684)</td>
<td>$(5,105)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intangibles</td>
<td>$(9,947)</td>
<td>$(6,729)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total deferred tax liability</td>
<td>$(33,728)</td>
<td>$(35,969)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net deferred tax asset (liability) | $ 3,763 | $ (15,715) |

As of October 2, 2005, the net deferred tax asset was $3.8 million. The sale of EWS in fiscal 2005 generated a capital loss of $18.9 million for tax purposes, of which the Company expects to utilize $3.6 million in fiscal 2006. The benefit from utilizing $3.6 million of capital loss is included in the income tax provision for discontinued operations in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. A valuation allowance has been placed on the entire remaining capital loss carry-forward of $15.3 million ($6.2 million, tax-effected). The capital loss can be carried forward for five years. The Company has performed the required assessment of positive and negative evidence regarding the realization of the net deferred tax asset of continuing operations in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. This assessment included the evaluation of scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities, availability of carry-backs, and estimates of projected future taxable income. Although realization is not assured, based on the Company’s assessment, the Company has concluded that it is more likely than not that the asset will be realized and, as such, no additional valuation allowance has been provided.

The Company is currently under examination by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) for fiscal years 1997 through 2003. The major issue raised by the IRS relates to the research and experimentation credits (R&E credits) of $14.5 million recognized by the Company during the years under examination. The amount of credits recognized for financial statement purposes represents the amount that the Company estimates will be ultimately realizable. Should the final resolution as to the amount of R&E credits to which the Company is entitled to be more or less than the estimated realizable amounts, the Company will recognize any difference as a component of income tax expense in the period in which the determination is made.

For the discontinued operations, the Company had an income tax benefit of $1.0 million for fiscal 2005 and tax expense of $0.2 million and $1.9 million for fiscal 2004 and 2003, respectively. The net deferred tax asset was $0.2 million and $0.3 million for fiscal 2005 and 2004, respectively. These amounts are not included in the continuing operations disclosed above.

7. LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS

Long-term obligations consisted of the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year Ended</th>
<th>October 2, 2005</th>
<th>October 3, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Credit Agreement</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ 40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Notes, Series A</td>
<td>78,857</td>
<td>92,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Notes, Series B</td>
<td>10,800</td>
<td>14,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2,281</td>
<td>4,530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total long-term obligations</td>
<td>$ 91,938</td>
<td>$ 150,930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Current portion of long-term obligations</td>
<td>$(17,800)</td>
<td>$(58,868)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term obligations, less current portion</td>
<td>$ 74,138</td>
<td>$ 92,062</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Company has a credit agreement with several financial institutions, which was amended in July 2004, December 2004.
and May 2005 (Credit Agreement). The May 2005 amendment decreased the commitment under the revolving credit facility (Facility) from $235.0 million to $150.0 million. However, the maximum availability under the Facility is limited to $125.0 million until additional approvals are obtained from the lenders. As part of the Facility, the Company may request standby letters of credit up to the aggregate sum of $100.0 million. The Facility matures on July 21, 2009, or earlier upon payment in full of loans and other obligations. The May 2005 amendment also increased the pricing for borrowings under the Facility and revised the financial covenants.

As of October 2, 2005, the Company had no borrowings under the Facility. Standby letters of credit under the Facility totaled $12.0 million as of that date.

In May 2001, the Company issued two series of senior secured notes in the aggregate amount of $110.0 million (Senior Notes) under a note purchase agreement that was amended in September 2001, April 2003, December 2004 and May 2005 (Note Purchase Agreement). The Series A Notes, in the original amount of $92.0 million, are payable semi-annually and mature on May 30, 2011. The Series B Notes, in the original amount of $18.0 million, are payable semi-annually and mature on May 30, 2008. The May 2005 amendment increased the interest rates on the Series A Notes from 7.28% per annum to 8.28% per annum and on the Series B Notes from 7.08% per annum to 8.08% per annum. However, these interest rates will return to the pre-amendment rates of 7.28% per annum for the Series A Notes and 7.08% per annum for the Series B Notes if the Company meets certain covenant compliance criteria for three consecutive fiscal quarters. The May 2005 amendment also revised the financial covenants.

As of October 2, 2005, the outstanding principal balance on the Senior Notes was $89.7 million. Scheduled principal payments of $16.7 million are due on May 30, 2006 and, accordingly, were included in current portion of long-term obligations. The remaining $73.0 million was included in long-term obligations as of October 2, 2005.

In addition to the revised financial covenants, the amendments to the Credit Agreement and Note Purchase Agreement increased the restrictions on the Company’s ability to incur other debt, repurchase stock, engage in acquisitions or dispose of assets. Further, these agreements contain other restrictions, including but not limited to, the creation of liens and the payment of dividends on the Company’s capital stock (other than stock dividends). Borrowings under the Credit Agreement and Note Purchase Agreement are secured by accounts receivable, the stock of certain of subsidiaries and cash, deposit accounts, investment property and financial assets. Although the Company was not in compliance with certain financial covenants during fiscal 2005 prior to the May 2005 amendments, the Company met all compliance requirements as of October 2, 2005.

The following table presents, in thousands, scheduled maturities of the Company’s long-term obligations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$17,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>17,162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>16,839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>13,245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>13,252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thereafter</td>
<td>13,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$91,938</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Exchangeable Shares

In connection with certain acquisitions, the Company issued an aggregate of 920,354 shares of exchangeable stock of TTC (Exchangeable Shares). The Exchangeable Shares were non-voting but carried exchange rights under which a holder of Exchangeable Shares was entitled, at any time after five months from the date of issue of the Exchangeable Shares, to require the Company to redeem all or any part of the Exchangeable Shares, which was satisfied in full by the Company’s delivery to such holder of one share of its common stock for each Exchangeable Share presented and surrendered, as adjusted for stock splits and stock dividends subsequent to the original issuance. The Exchangeable Shares also participated in any cash dividends paid to holders of the Company’s common stock. The Exchangeable Shares could not be put back to the Company for cash. As of April 3, 2005, all Exchangeable Shares were exchanged for the Company’s common stock.

9. Stock Plans

Pursuant to the Company’s 1989 Stock Option Plan, key employees were granted options to purchase an aggregate of 1,490,112 shares of the Company’s common stock at prices ranging from 100% to 110% of market value on the date of grant. The 1989 Stock Option Plan terminated in 1999, except as to outstanding options. All options granted by the Company were at least 100% to 110% of market value on the date of grant. Those options vested at 25% per year and became exercisable beginning one year from the date of grant, became fully vested in four years, and terminated ten years from the date of grant.

Pursuant to the Company’s 1992 Incentive Stock Plan, key employees were granted options to purchase an aggregate of 7,202,147 shares of the Company’s common stock at prices not less than 100% of market value on the date of grant. The 1992 Incentive Stock Plan terminated in December 2002, except as to outstanding options. All options granted by the Company were at least 100% of market value at the date of grant. Those options vested at 25% per year and became exercisable beginning one year from the date of grant, become fully vested no later than five years, and terminate no later than ten years from the date of grant.

Pursuant to the Company’s 2002 Stock Option Plan, key employees may be granted options to purchase an aggregate of 4,000,000 shares of the Company’s common stock at prices not less than
100% of market value on the date of grant. All options granted were at market value on the date of grant. These options vest at 25% on the first anniversary of the grant date, and the balance vests monthly thereafter, such that these options become fully vested no later than four years from the date of grant. These options terminate no later than ten years from the date of grant.

Pursuant to the Company’s 1992 Stock Option Plan for Nonemployee Directors, nonemployee directors were granted options to purchase an aggregate of 178,808 shares of the Company’s common stock at prices not less than 100% of market value on the date of grant. The 1992 Stock Option Plan for Nonemployee Directors terminated in December 2002, except as to the outstanding options. All options granted were at market value on the date of grant. These options are fully vested and terminate no later than ten years from the date of grant.

Pursuant to the Company’s 1992 Stock Option Plan for Nonemployee Directors, nonemployee directors were granted options to purchase an aggregate of 178,808 shares of the Company’s common stock at prices not less than 100% of market value on the date of grant. The 1992 Stock Option Plan for Nonemployee Directors terminated in December 2002, except as to the outstanding options. All options granted were at market value on the date of grant. These options are fully vested and terminate no later than ten years from the date of grant.

Pursuant to the Company’s 2003 Outside Director Stock Option Plan, nonemployee directors are granted options to purchase an aggregate of up to 400,000 shares of the Company’s common stock at prices not less than 100% of the market value on the date of grant. All options granted were at the market value at the date of grant. These options vest and become exercisable on the first anniversary of the date of grant if the director has not ceased to be a director prior to such date, and expire ten years following the grant date.

The Company’s Employee Stock Purchase Plan (Purchase Plan) provides for the granting of purchase rights to purchase common stock to eligible full and part-time employees of the Company. Under the Purchase Plan, shares of common stock will be issued upon exercise of the purchase rights. An aggregate of 2,373,290 shares may be issued pursuant to such exercise. The maximum amount that an employee can contribute during a purchase right period is $5,000, and the minimum contribution per payroll period is $25. Under the Purchase Plan, the exercise price of a purchase right is the lesser of 100% of the fair market value of a share of common stock on the first day of the purchase right period or 85% of the fair market value on the last day of the purchase right period. For this purpose, the fair market value of the stock is its closing price as reported on the Nasdaq National Market on the applicable day.

During the three years in the period ended October 2, 2005, option activity was as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Options</th>
<th>Weighted Average Exercise Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance, September 29, 2002</td>
<td>4,827 $ 14.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granted</td>
<td>1,374 12.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercised</td>
<td>(601) 8.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td>(288) 15.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance, September 28, 2003</td>
<td>5,312 14.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granted</td>
<td>1,052 24.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercised</td>
<td>(889) 9.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td>(373) 18.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance, October 3, 2004</td>
<td>5,102 16.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granted</td>
<td>1,133 15.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercised</td>
<td>(302) 8.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td>(756) 19.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance, October 2, 2005</td>
<td>5,177 16.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercisable as of October 2, 2005</td>
<td>3,717 17.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercisable as of October 3, 2004</td>
<td>2,949 14.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercisable as of September 28, 2003</td>
<td>2,374 11.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following table summarizes information concerning outstanding and exercisable options as of October 2, 2005:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Options Outstanding</th>
<th>Options Exercisable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number Outstanding</td>
<td>Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5.68 - $8.35</td>
<td>246,693 1.79 $ 7.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.65 - 12.85</td>
<td>1,146,561 5.44 11.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.05 - 19.20</td>
<td>1,954,912 7.71 15.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.40 - 28.00</td>
<td>1,829,102 6.94 22.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.68 - 28.00</td>
<td>5,177,268 6.65 16.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. Earnings Per Share

Basic EPS excludes dilution and is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares and the weighted average number of shares of Exchangeable Shares outstanding for the period. The Exchangeable Shares were non-voting and were exchangeable on a one-to-one basis, as adjusted for stock splits and stock dividends subsequent to the original issuance, for the Company’s common stock. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, the weighted average number of Exchangeable Shares, and dilutive potential common shares for the period. The Company includes as potential common shares the weighted average dilutive effects of outstanding stock options using the treasury stock method. The following table sets forth the number of weighted average shares used to compute basic and diluted EPS:
Fiscal Year Ended
October 2, 2005  October 3, 2004  September 28, 2003
(in thousands, except exercise price)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>October 2, 2005</th>
<th>October 3, 2004</th>
<th>September 28, 2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from continuing operations</td>
<td>$(98,678)</td>
<td>$23,469</td>
<td>$46,610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from discontinued operations</td>
<td>(791)</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>2,749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative effect of accounting change</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(114,669)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net income (loss)</strong></td>
<td><strong>$(99,469)</strong>*</td>
<td><strong>$23,742</strong>*</td>
<td><strong>$(65,310)</strong>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Denominator for basic earnings (loss) per share:
- Weighted average shares: 56,703  55,836  53,531
- Exchangeable stock of a subsidiary: 33  133  1,235

Denominator for basic earnings (loss) per share: 32
- Weighted average shares: 56,736  55,969  54,766
- Exchangeable stock of a subsidiary: 33  133  1,235

Denominator for diluted earnings (loss) per share:
- Weighted average shares: 56,736  55,969  54,766
- Exchangeable stock of a subsidiary: 33  133  1,235

Basic earnings (loss) per share:
- Income (loss) from continuing operations: $(1.74)  0.42  0.85
- Income (loss) from discontinued operations: (0.01)  —  0.05
- Cumulative effect of accounting change: —  —  (2.09)

Net income (loss) per share: $(1.75)  0.42  (1.19)

Diluted earnings (loss) per share:
- Income (loss) from continuing operations: $(1.74)  0.41  0.83
- Income (loss) from discontinued operations: (0.01)  —  0.05
- Cumulative effect of accounting change: —  —  (2.05)

Net income (loss) per share: $(1.75)  0.41  (1.17)

For the fiscal year ended October 2, 2005, 5.2 million options were excluded from the calculation of diluted potential common shares because the inclusion would be anti-dilutive. For the fiscal year ended October 3, 2004 and September 28, 2003, 1.8 million and 2.3 million options were excluded from the calculation of potential common shares, respectively. For fiscal 2004 and 2003, options were excluded because their exercise prices exceeded the average market price for these periods.

11. Leases

The Company leases office and field equipment, vehicles and buildings under various operating and capital leases. Rent expense under all operating leases was approximately $56.6 million, $58.1 million and $51.6 million for the fiscal years ended October 2, 2005, October 3, 2004 and September 28, 2003, respectively. Amounts payable under noncancelable operating and capital lease commitments are as follows during the following fiscal years:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Operating</th>
<th>Capital</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$26,658</td>
<td>$1,057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>20,941</td>
<td>419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>16,297</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>13,494</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>10,098</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thereafter</td>
<td>23,452</td>
<td>498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$110,940</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,281</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Less: Imputed interest | $(410)

Net present value | $1,871

The Company calculated the above imputed interest using 8.21% per annum, the borrowing weighted average interest rate as of October 2, 2005.

In connection with the continuing consolidation of certain operations in the civil infrastructure and communications businesses, and in accordance with SFAS No. 146, *Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities*, the Company recorded a charge related to the abandonment of certain leased facilities of $5.6 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2005, which was offset by an adjustment of $1.8 million due to favorable sub-lease agreements and lease settlements in the third and fourth quarters of fiscal 2005. These amounts were recorded as selling, general and administrative expenses. These facilities are no longer in use, and the estimated costs are net of reasonably estimated sublease income. There were no other charges required to be accrued by SFAS No. 146.
The following is a summary of lease exit accrual activity:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>October 3, 2004</th>
<th>Charge</th>
<th>Reserve Utilization</th>
<th>Adjustments</th>
<th>October 2, 2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resource management</td>
<td>$ —</td>
<td>$ 300</td>
<td>$ (40)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$ 260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>3,400</td>
<td>(1,110)</td>
<td>(470)</td>
<td>3,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>(540)</td>
<td>(1,360)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$ 1,200</td>
<td>5,600</td>
<td>$ (1,690)</td>
<td>$ (1,830)</td>
<td>$ 3,280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. Retirement Plans

The Company and its subsidiaries have established defined contribution plans including 401(k) plans. Generally, employees are eligible to participate in the defined contribution plans upon completion of one year of service and in the 401(k) plans upon commencement of employment. For the fiscal years ended October 2, 2005, October 3, 2004 and September 28, 2003, employer contributions relating to the plans were approximately $6.0 million, $14.1 million and $12.3 million, respectively. In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005, the Company realized forfeitures which favorably impacted earnings by $2.5 million.

13. Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The Company includes two components in its comprehensive income (loss): net income (loss) during a period and other comprehensive income (loss). Other comprehensive income consists of translation gains and losses from subsidiaries with functional currencies different than the Company’s reporting currency. Comprehensive loss of $99.1 million, income of $24.5 million and loss of $63.9 million were realized for the fiscal years ended October 2, 2005, October 3, 2004 and September 28, 2003, respectively. The Company realized a net translation gain of $0.4 million, $0.8 million and $1.4 million for the fiscal years ended October 2, 2005, October 3, 2004 and September 28, 2003, respectively.

14. Litigation

The Company is subject to certain claims and lawsuits typically filed against engineering and consulting firms, alleging primarily professional errors or omissions. The Company carries professional liability insurance, subject to certain deductibles and policy limits, against such claims. Management’s opinion is that the resolution of these claims will not have a material adverse effect on the Company’s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company continues to be involved in the contract dispute with Horsehead Industries, Inc., doing business as Zinc Corporation of America (ZCA). In April 2002, a Washington County Court in Bartlesville, Oklahoma dismissed with prejudice the Company’s counter-claims relating to receivables due from ZCA and other costs. In December 2002, the Court rendered a judgment for $4.1 million and unquantified legal fees against the Company in this dispute. In February 2004, the Court quantified the previous award and ordered the Company to pay approximately $2.6 million in ZCA’s attorneys’ and consultants’ fees and expenses, together with post-judgment interest.

The Company has posted bonds and filed appeals with respect to the earlier judgments. On December 27, 2004, the Court of Civil Appeals of the State of Oklahoma rendered a decision relating to certain aspects of the Company’s appeals. In its decision, the Court vacated the $4.1 million verdict against the Company. In addition, the Court upheld the dismissal of the Company’s counter-claims. The Court has not yet ruled on the status of ZCA’s attorneys’ and consultants’ fees and expenses. Several legal alternatives remain available to both parties including appeals to the Oklahoma Supreme Court. On January 18, 2005, both the Company and ZCA filed petitions for rehearing with the Oklahoma Court of Civil Appeals. Although the Company’s legal counsel in these matters continues to believe that a favorable outcome is reasonably possible, final outcome of these matters cannot yet be accurately predicted. As a result, the Company continues to maintain the amounts recorded in its restated fiscal 2002 financial statements, consisting of $4.1 million in accrued liabilities relating to the original judgment, and a $2.6 million accrual for ZCA’s attorneys’ and consultants’ fees and expenses. Once the legal proceedings relating to ZCA are finally resolved, accruals will be adjusted appropriately.

15. Reportable Segments

The Company manages its business in three reportable segments: resource management, infrastructure and communications. The Company’s management established these segments based upon the services provided, the different marketing strategies associated with these services and the specialized needs of their respective clients. The resource management reportable segment provides engineering and consulting services related primarily to water quality and availability, environmental restoration, productive reuse of defense facilities and strategic environmental resource planning to both public and private organizations. The infrastructure reportable segment provides engineering, program management and construction management services for the additional development, upgrade and replacement of existing civil and security infrastructure to both public and private organizations. The communications reportable segment provides network planning, engineering, site acquisition and construction management services to telecommunications companies and cable operators.

The Company accounts for inter-segment sales and transfers as if the sales and transfers were to third parties; that is, by applying a negotiated fee onto the cost of the services performed. The Company’s management evaluates the performance of these reportable segments based upon their respective income (loss) from operations before the effect of any acquisition-related amortization. All inter-company balances and transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

The following tables set forth summarized financial information concerning the Company’s reportable segments:
**Reportable Segments:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Resource Management</th>
<th>Infrastructure</th>
<th>Communications</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fiscal Year Ended October 2, 2005</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>$ 890,036</td>
<td>$ 376,680</td>
<td>$ 75,232</td>
<td>$ 1,341,948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue, net of subcontractor costs</td>
<td>574,275</td>
<td>301,628</td>
<td>23,276</td>
<td>899,179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit (loss)</td>
<td>102,535</td>
<td>46,354</td>
<td>(34,298)</td>
<td>114,591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment income (loss) from operations</td>
<td>35,340</td>
<td>(95,770)</td>
<td>(45,999)</td>
<td>(106,429)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation expense</td>
<td>5,218</td>
<td>2,997</td>
<td>2,094</td>
<td>10,309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>445,314</td>
<td>65,786</td>
<td>35,191</td>
<td>546,291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fiscal Year Ended October 3, 2004</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>$ 861,545</td>
<td>$ 393,929</td>
<td>$ 163,814</td>
<td>$ 1,419,288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue, net of subcontractor costs</td>
<td>585,807</td>
<td>315,301</td>
<td>74,101</td>
<td>975,209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit (loss)</td>
<td>114,264</td>
<td>51,299</td>
<td>(14,304)</td>
<td>151,259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment income (loss) from operations</td>
<td>61,935</td>
<td>18,419</td>
<td>(23,933)</td>
<td>56,421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation expense</td>
<td>6,212</td>
<td>3,674</td>
<td>3,816</td>
<td>13,702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>414,334</td>
<td>151,105</td>
<td>84,063</td>
<td>649,502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fiscal Year Ended September 28, 2003</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>$ 684,201</td>
<td>$ 325,814</td>
<td>$ 112,775</td>
<td>$ 1,122,790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue, net of subcontractor costs</td>
<td>486,729</td>
<td>269,499</td>
<td>68,354</td>
<td>824,582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit</td>
<td>101,399</td>
<td>55,725</td>
<td>14,900</td>
<td>172,024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment income from operations</td>
<td>61,305</td>
<td>25,722</td>
<td>5,707</td>
<td>92,734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation expense</td>
<td>4,372</td>
<td>4,671</td>
<td>3,142</td>
<td>12,185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>355,915</td>
<td>139,592</td>
<td>86,920</td>
<td>582,427</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reconciliations:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fiscal Year Ended</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>October 2, 2005</td>
<td>October 3, 2004</td>
<td>September 28, 2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(in thousands)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenue</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue from reportable segments</td>
<td>$ 1,341,948</td>
<td>$ 1,419,288</td>
<td>$ 1,122,790</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elimination of inter-segment revenue</td>
<td>(55,917)</td>
<td>(43,129)</td>
<td>(35,804)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total consolidated revenue</td>
<td>$ 1,286,031</td>
<td>$ 1,376,159</td>
<td>$ 1,086,986</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenue, Net of Subcontractor Costs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue, net of subcontractor costs from reportable segments</td>
<td>$ 899,179</td>
<td>$ 975,209</td>
<td>$ 824,582</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total consolidated revenue, net of subcontractor costs</td>
<td>$ 899,179</td>
<td>$ 975,209</td>
<td>$ 824,582</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gross Profit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit from reportable segments</td>
<td>$ 114,591</td>
<td>$ 151,259</td>
<td>$ 172,024</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total consolidated gross profit</td>
<td>$ 114,591</td>
<td>$ 151,259</td>
<td>$ 172,024</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income (Loss) from Operations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment income (loss) from operations</td>
<td>(106,429)</td>
<td>56,421</td>
<td>92,734</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other expense(1)</td>
<td>(5,453)</td>
<td>(4,356)</td>
<td>(4,176)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of intangibles</td>
<td>(1,785)</td>
<td>(2,418)</td>
<td>(1,286)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total consolidated income (loss) from operations</td>
<td>(113,667)</td>
<td>49,647</td>
<td>87,272</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets from reportable segments</td>
<td>$ 546,291</td>
<td>$ 649,502</td>
<td>$ 582,427</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets not allocated to segments</td>
<td>180,096</td>
<td>223,325</td>
<td>184,621</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets of discontinued operations</td>
<td>18,060</td>
<td>22,842</td>
<td>24,237</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elimination of inter-segment assets</td>
<td>(96,404)</td>
<td>(87,162)</td>
<td>(88,053)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total consolidated assets</td>
<td>$ 648,043</td>
<td>$ 808,507</td>
<td>$ 703,232</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Other expense includes corporate costs not allowable or allocable to the segments and litigation settlements.
16. Major Clients

Other than the federal government, the Company had no single client that accounted for more than 10% of its revenue. All three segments reported revenue from federal government, state and local government and commercial clients.

The following table presents revenue, net of subcontractor costs, by client sector:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client Sector</th>
<th>Fiscal Year Ended</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>October 2, 2005</td>
<td>October 3, 2004</td>
<td>September 28, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal government</td>
<td>$426,672</td>
<td>$443,412</td>
<td>$287,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State and local</td>
<td>148,304</td>
<td>161,263</td>
<td>172,589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>321,258</td>
<td>361,860</td>
<td>362,397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International</td>
<td>2,945</td>
<td>8,674</td>
<td>2,576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$899,179</td>
<td>$975,209</td>
<td>$824,582</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Revenue, net of subcontractor costs, is reported based on clients’ locations.
(2) Long-lived assets include non-current assets of the Company.

17. Restatement

Subsequent to the fourth quarter of the Company’s fiscal year ended October 3, 2004, the Company’s management, along with the Audit Committee of its Board of Directors, identified certain accounting errors, as described below. As a result, the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements for the fiscal year ended September 28, 2003 were restated from the amounts previously reported.

The principal adjustments are summarized below:

Legal Proceedings

In connection with the jury verdict rendered against the Company in a contract dispute with Horsehead Industries, Inc., doing business as Zinc Corporation of America (ZCA), the Company recorded a reserve for the verdict in the amount of $4.1 million in fiscal 2002 (see Note 14). The judgment also required the Company to pay ZCA’s unquantified attorneys’ fees and expenses. The Company has concluded that it should have recorded a $2.6 million reserve in fiscal 2002 for these fees. Additionally, the Company has concluded that it should have fully reserved for a contract receivable in fiscal 2002 that was owed to the Company by ZCA in the amount of $1.2 million at the time its counter-claim against ZCA was dismissed by the court in April 2002. Finally, the Company has concluded that it improperly capitalized legal fees and expenses in the amounts of $481,000, $657,000 and $512,000 during fiscal 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively.

In an unrelated matter, the Company had recorded a $1.2 million receivable during fiscal 2001, which was reduced to $1.0 million in fiscal 2002 from Northwest Development and Construction (NW), a subcontractor on a design and construction contract. The receivable resulted from backcharges and a claim that the Company was pursuing against NW. The Company has concluded that it should not have recorded $1.2 million as a receivable in fiscal 2001.

Other Restatement-Related Adjustments

The Company recorded certain other restatement adjustments in fiscal 2003 whereby it recorded a land purchase and reversed other contract costs in the amount of $1,000,000, reversed revenue and recorded billings in excess of costs on uncompleted contracts by $1,383,000, for a net decrease to income of $383,000. In addition, the Company reserved another unbilled receivable in the amount of $350,000.

Earnings Per Share

The Company determined that the denominator for basic earnings (loss) per share and basic earnings per share before cumulative effect of accounting change for the fiscal years ended September 28, 2003 and September 29, 2002 should have included 1,235,000 shares of exchangeable stock of a subsidiary. Previously, the exchangeable shares were included only in the denominator for diluted earnings per share, but not basic earnings per share.
A summary of the significant effects of the restatement is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>As Previously Reported</th>
<th>Restatement Amounts</th>
<th>Reclassification Amounts⁽¹⁾</th>
<th>As Restated &amp; Reclassified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>$ 1,132,050</td>
<td>(1,383)</td>
<td>(43,681)</td>
<td>$ 1,086,986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue, net of subcontractor costs</td>
<td>860,772</td>
<td>(1,383)</td>
<td>(34,807)</td>
<td>824,582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit</td>
<td>180,614</td>
<td>(383)</td>
<td>(8,207)</td>
<td>172,024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selling, general and administrative expenses</td>
<td>87,462</td>
<td>862</td>
<td>(3,572)</td>
<td>84,752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income from operations</td>
<td>93,152</td>
<td>(1,245)</td>
<td>(4,635)</td>
<td>87,272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income before income tax expense and cumulative effect of accounting change</td>
<td>83,878</td>
<td>(1,245)</td>
<td>(4,635)</td>
<td>77,998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax expense</td>
<td>33,769</td>
<td>(495)</td>
<td>(1,886)</td>
<td>31,388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income from continuing operations</td>
<td>50,109</td>
<td>(750)</td>
<td>(2,749)</td>
<td>46,610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income from discontinued operations, net of tax</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2,749</td>
<td>2,749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income before cumulative effect of accounting change</td>
<td>50,109</td>
<td>(750)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>49,359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net loss</td>
<td>$ (64,560)</td>
<td>(750)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$ (65,310)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic loss per share:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income before cumulative effect of accounting change</td>
<td>$ 0.94</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$ 0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net loss</td>
<td>(1.21)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(1.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diluted (loss) earnings per share:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income before cumulative effect of accounting change</td>
<td>$ 0.90</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net loss</td>
<td>(1.16)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(1.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted average common shares outstanding:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic</td>
<td>53,531</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>54,766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diluted</td>
<td>55,782</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>55,782</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁽¹⁾ See Note 2.

18. Quarterly Financial Information—Unaudited

In the opinion of management, the following unaudited quarterly data for the fiscal years ended October 2, 2005 and October 3, 2004 reflect all adjustments necessary for a fair statement of the results of operations. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature.
(In thousands, except per share data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY2004(1)</th>
<th>First Quarter</th>
<th>Second Quarter</th>
<th>Third Quarter</th>
<th>Fourth Quarter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>$319,880</td>
<td>$317,504</td>
<td>$359,523</td>
<td>$379,252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue, net of subcontractor costs</td>
<td>230,994</td>
<td>233,021</td>
<td>254,189</td>
<td>257,005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit</td>
<td>45,536</td>
<td>45,581</td>
<td>41,324</td>
<td>18,818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from continuing operations</td>
<td>12,080</td>
<td>12,053</td>
<td>8,215</td>
<td>(8,879)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from discontinued operations</td>
<td>862</td>
<td>976</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>(2,381)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income (loss)</td>
<td>12,942</td>
<td>13,029</td>
<td>9,031</td>
<td>(11,260)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic earnings (loss) per share:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from continuing operations</td>
<td>$0.22</td>
<td>$0.21</td>
<td>$0.15</td>
<td>(0.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from discontinued operations</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income (loss)</td>
<td>$0.23</td>
<td>$0.23</td>
<td>$0.16</td>
<td>(0.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diluted earnings (loss) per share:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from continuing operations</td>
<td>$0.21</td>
<td>$0.21</td>
<td>$0.15</td>
<td>(0.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) from discontinued operations</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income (loss)</td>
<td>$0.22</td>
<td>$0.23</td>
<td>$0.16</td>
<td>(0.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted average common shares outstanding:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic</td>
<td>55,504</td>
<td>55,885</td>
<td>56,104</td>
<td>56,382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diluted</td>
<td>57,395</td>
<td>57,465</td>
<td>57,157</td>
<td>56,382</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) As a result of the reporting for discontinued operations in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005, the Company restated all periods presented.

(2) As a result of the two-step interim impairment test required by SFAS No. 142, the Company recorded a goodwill impairment charge of $105.0 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2005. This charge related to the Company’s infrastructure reportable segment.

## Securities Information

Tetra Tech’s common stock is traded on the Nasdaq National Market under the symbol TTEK. There were 3,036 stockholders of record as of December 1, 2005. Tetra Tech has not paid any cash dividends since its inception and does not intend to pay any cash dividends on its common stock in the foreseeable future. Tetra Tech’s Credit Agreement and Note Purchase Agreement restrict the extent to which cash dividends may be declared or paid.

The high and low sales prices per share for the common stock for the last two fiscal years, as reported by the Nasdaq National Market, are set forth in the following tables.

### Fiscal Year 2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year 2005</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Quarter</td>
<td>$17.02</td>
<td>$11.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Quarter</td>
<td>16.82</td>
<td>12.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Quarter</td>
<td>13.53</td>
<td>10.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth Quarter</td>
<td>17.24</td>
<td>13.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Fiscal Year 2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year 2004</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Quarter</td>
<td>$25.97</td>
<td>$19.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Quarter</td>
<td>27.60</td>
<td>19.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Quarter</td>
<td>22.74</td>
<td>14.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth Quarter</td>
<td>18.99</td>
<td>12.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Think you’d like to learn more?

We encourage you to call us directly or contact us at www.tetratech.com. Then you can... think for yourself.